# 7 SCIENTIFIC HIGHLIGHT OF THE MONTH:"Simulating functional magnetic materials on supercomputers"

## Simulating functional magnetic materials on supercomputers

Markus E. Gruner and Peter Entel

Physics Department and Center for Nanointegration CENIDE University of Duisburg-Essen, Duisburg Campus, 47048 Duisburg, Germany

#### Abstract

The recent passing of the petaflop/s landmark by the Roadrunner project at the Los Alamos National Laboratory marks the preliminary peak of an impressive world-wide development in the high-performance scientific computing sector. Also purely academic state-ofthe-art supercomputers as the IBM Blue Gene/P at Forschungszentrum Jülich allow nowadays to investigate large systems of the order of  $10^3$  spin polarized transition metal atoms by means of density functional theory. Three applications will be presented where large scale ab initio calculations contribute to the understanding of key properties emerging from a close interrelation between structure and magnetism. The first two examples discuss the size dependent evolution of equilibrium structural motifs in elementary iron and binary Fe-Pt and Co-Pt transition metal nanoparticles, which are currently discussed as promising candidates for ultra-high-density magnetic data-storage media. However, the preference for multiply twinned morphologies at smaller cluster sizes counteracts the formation of a singlecrystalline  $L_{10}$  phase which alone provides the required hard magnetic properties. The third application is concerned with the magnetic shape memory effect in the Ni-Mn-Ga Heusler alloy, which is a technologically relevant candidate for magneto-mechanical actuators and sensors. In this material strains of up to 10% can be induced by external magnetic fields by the field-induced shifting of martensitic twin boundaries, requiring an extremely high mobility of the martensitic twin boundaries, but also the selection of the appropriate martensitic structure from the rich phase diagram.

# 1 Introduction

Not at last due to the increasing trend towards miniaturization of everyday-life goods, smart materials tend to play an increasingly important role for technological applications. A specific group of smart materials are functional magnetic materials, where in the widest sense of the definition the magnetic properties decide over the functional applications. In many cases the desired functional magnetic properties of these materials are connected with a specific structural conformation relying on the absence and sometimes also the presence of structural defects (for an overview, see, e.g., [1]). The detailed understanding of the specific interplay of magnetic and structural properties is thus decisive for the optimization of functional materials or even the design of new alloys and compounds for a given purpose.

Magnetic nanoparticles, for instance, play an important role in biomedical applications, as carriers for targeted drug delivery within the human body or as heating agent for local hypothermic cancer treatment strategies [2–4]. Other potential applications envisage their use as building blocks for ultra-high density magnetic data storage media [5,6]. Here, in particular, a large magneto-crystalline anisotropy is required, assuring a stability of the stored information in the order of decades. For the case of Fe-Pt and Co-Pt, the largest magnetocrystalline anisotropy is expected for single-crystalline particles of the  $L1_0$  phase, which exhibits extremely large values in the bulk [7]. The occurrence of so-called multiple twinning, which is induced by a competition between surface and core energies and typical for small particle sizes, counteracts the required large magnetocrystalline anisotropy and thus the miniaturization of the bits by reducing the particle sizes down to a few nanometers. On the contrary, in magnetic shape memory materials, a prerequisite for their functional purpose is the existence of a martensitic phase and in consequence the presence of internal interfaces as twin boundaries (see, e.g., [1, 8-10]). Their extremely large mobility in combination with a considerable magnetocrystalline anisotropy allows shifting by moderate magnetic fields of the order of one Tesla, which in consequence leads to a huge macroscopic change of shape in the range of several percent in the lateral dimensions.

The investigation of atomistic processes in the above mentioned materials should cover at least a few nanometers, requiring system sizes of the order of  $10^2$  to  $10^3$  atoms. Traditionally, this is the realm of classical statistical methods as molecular dynamics simulations using empirical inter-atomic potentials or semi-empirical quantum-mechanical approaches such as tight-binding schemes (e.g. [11–16]). These methods usually rely on careful fitting procedures to material specific quantities, which must be obtained from experiment or *ab initio* calculations, and lack in general the accuracy to give a definitive account on the interrelation of the electronic and structural properties. This is especially true for systems with strong interrelation between magnetic and structural properties as those which are the main topic of this review. Ab initio calculations in the framework of the density functional theory provide this accuracy but are on the other hand computationally expensive and thus traditionally limited to rather small system sizes. Therefore, in recent times, efforts have been made to improve the classical simulation schemes, e.g., by incorporating magnetic degrees of freedom into classical simulations of real materials on a systematic basis [17, 18]. A very promising route is the development of so-called  $\mathcal{O}(N)$  DFT methods [19–22]. These provide as classical molecular dynamics a linear increase of the computational demands with the system size, allowing system sizes N larger than  $10^5$  to be considered. Most of these approaches work best for semiconductors and insulators, as they require a rather close localization of orbitals at the atomic positions. Investigations of structural properties of large transition metal systems have not been reported, so far.

For problems like the ones discussed in this review, it is therefore still unavoidable to come back to the traditional DFT implementations, although they are hampered by a much worse scaling behavior. For the example discussed in Sec. 4 this is shown in Fig. 1 (left side). A full structural optimization of a Fe-Pt nanocluster with 561 atoms would require several years of computing time, if performed on a single processor machine, a particle of the order of  $10^3$  atoms



Figure 1: Left: Double logarithmic plot of the computation time per CPU needed on JUBL for a full geometric optimization of Fe-Pt nanoclusters of magic cluster sizes according to Eq. (1). Originally published in [23]. Right: Evolution of the accumulated available theoretical performance (maximum measured linpack power in units of 10<sup>9</sup> floating point operations per second) and averaged performance per processor (as given by the accumulated performance divided by total number of processors) of the world's 500 fastest supercomputers within the last 15 years (data by kind permission of the TOP500 project). The collection comprises academic as well as non-academic (e.g., commercial and military) sites, see the TOP500 web-site for more information [24].

would even take decades. This clearly demonstrates that such calculations are only possible on massively parallel supercomputers, using 1000 processors or more at the same time. This has become possible by the recent development in the supercomputing sector over the last 15 years leading to an amazing exponential overall increase in capacity, doubling the world-wide available computing power nearly every 13 months (c.f. Fig. 1, right side). Another important trend visible from Fig. 1 is that the improvement in the average performance per processor lost momentum during the past years in favor of massively parallelized machines containing thousands of processor cores.

The increasing availability of large computational resources has allowed the physical problems to grow larger, as several examples from materials science impressively demonstrate, e.g., the investigation of Pd-nanowire self-assembly from individual  $Pd_{225}$  nanoparticles [25], the simulation of structural phase transitions in Ge/Sb/Te phase-change materials for optical data recording purposes [26, 27] with up to 460 atoms or the exemplary electronic structure calculation of a supercell containing 1000 Molybdenum atoms [28, 29]. Another outstanding work is the determination of structural and electronic properties of a boron-nitride nano-mesh placed on a Rh substrate considering more than 1100 atoms within a full potential approach [30, 31].

Within this contribution we will present three more showcases, where large-scale DFT calculations contribute to the advance in the field of materials science, with a special emphasis on functional magnetic materials. The calculations were performed on more than 1000 processors on the IBM Blue Gene installations of the John von Neumann Institute for Computing at Forschungszentrum Jülich and cover *ab initio* structure optimizations of up to 923 spin-polarized transition metal atoms.

## 2 Technical details and performance

The calculations were carried out in the framework of the density functional theory [32] using the Vienna Ab-initio Simulation Package (VASP) [33], which expands the wavefunctions of the valence electrons in a plane wave basis set. The interaction with the nuclei and the core electrons is described within the projector augmented wave (PAW) approach [34] yielding an excellent compromise between speed and accuracy (for an overview on the current state of the code and a review of recent applications, see [35]). For the accurate description of structural properties of ferrous alloys, the use of the generalized gradient approximation (GGA) for the representation of the exchange-correlation functional is mandatory. For the metallic nanoparticles, the formulation of Perdew and Wang [36, 37] in connection with the spin interpolation formula of Vosko, Wilk and Nusair [38] was used. Since the objects under consideration are zero-dimensional and thus non-periodic, k-space sampling was restricted to the  $\Gamma$ -point. The description of the electronic properties with a plane wave basis requires a periodic setup, thus the clusters were placed in a cubic supercell, with a sufficient amount of vacuum separating the periodic images. The supercells for the smaller systems were cubic, with a typical edge length of 22 Å, 28 Å and 32 Å for systems containing 147, 309 and 561 atoms, respectively, warranting a separation of about 9 Å of the periodic images. In order to check the convergence of the results with the supercell size, selected N = 561 clusters were studied within a 35Å supercell, leading to an overall change of the absolute energy per atom of about  $0.1 \,\mathrm{meV/atom}$ . The effect on the energy difference between the clusters, was of one order of magnitude smaller. The clusters with N = 923 were placed in a unit cell with fcc basis vectors (lattice constant: 38.1 Å). This provides a more efficient use of resources as it allows a smaller volume of the simulation cell, while maintaining sufficient separation of the periodic images. A cutoff for the plane wave energy of 268 eV was used for the ferrous systems. Gaussian finite temperature smearing with  $\sigma = 50 \text{ meV}$ was used to speed up convergence. For the isomers with competitive energies,  $\sigma$  was reduced to  $\sigma = 10 \text{ meV}$  in a second step. The  $3d^6 4s^1$ ,  $3d^7 4s^1$ ,  $3d^8 4s^1$  electrons were treated as valence for Mn, Fe, Co and  $3d^9 4s^1$  for Pt, respectively. In most of the calculations, the symmetrization of wavefunctions and forces was switched off allowing for symmetry-lifting changes of the structure. The geometrical optimizations were carried out on the Born-Oppenheimer surface using the conjugate gradient method. The structural relaxations were stopped when the energy difference between two consecutive relaxations was less than 0.1 meV leading to a convergences of forces down to the order of  $10 \,\mathrm{meV/Å}$ .

The phonon dispersions in Sec. 5 have been obtained within the direct force-constant approach using the PHONON package by Krzysztof Parlinski [39]. By this, an orthorhombic supercell was constructed consisting of 40 atoms formed by five tetragonal unit cells stacked in [110] direction. This corresponds to the direction along which the phonon softening of the TA<sub>2</sub> branch is found in experiment. The dynamical matrix is constructed from the Hellmann-Feynman forces obtained by *ab initio* calculations from specific displacements of the atoms in the supercell using the VASP code. For the  $1 \times 5 \times 1$  supercell the mesh for the integration in reciprocal space was reduced to  $10 \times 2 \times 8$  Monkhorst-Pack grid points. All phonon dispersions were calculated for the volume corresponding to the equilibrium lattice constant at zero magnetic field and zero temperature,  $a_0 = 5.8067$  Å. We used pseudopotentials with  $3d^94s^1$  as valence for Ni,  $3d^64s^1$  for Mn and  $4s^24p^1$  for Ga and a cut-off energy  $E_{\rm cut} = 353$  eV. For the simulation of the twin boundary motion processes, k-point integration was restricted to the  $\Gamma$ -point only as a consequence of the large simulation cell. The plane wave cutoff was set to 337 eV and exchange correlation potential in the formulation of Perdew, Burke and Ernzerhoff [40] was used. Again, the geometric relaxations performed using the conjugate gradient method were carried out until difference between total energies of two consecutive steps fell below 0.1 meV.

Parallelization is implemented in the VASP code using calls to the Message Passing Interface (MPI) library. Parallel linear algebra routines (e.g., eigensolver) are used from the ScaLAPACK library. The installation on the IBM Blue Gene did not require major changes in the code. The peculiarity of the IBM Blue Gene/L concept is the huge packing density, which allows 1024 double processor (700 MHz PowerPC 440d) nodes with a peak performance of 5.6 GFlop/s to be placed into one rack (for an overview, see [41]). Its successor, the Blue Gene/P provides 4096 cores per rack (850 MHz PowerPC 450d), where four cores make up one node. To allow sufficient air cooling, power consumption was kept low by reducing the clock frequency of the CPUs and providing only a limited amount of main memory 512 MB per node (2 GB for the Blue Gene/P).<sup>1</sup> The Blue Gene/L hardware allows the memory to be divided between both CPUs ('virtual node' mode) or to be dedicated to one processor alone, while the other takes care of the communication requests ('communication coprocessor' mode), while the Blue Gene/P provides for each node true four-fold symmetrical multiprocessing on request. To increase scalability, a threefold high-bandwidth, low-latency network is implemented. In fact, the quality of the highspeed interconnect plays a decisive role for the successful usage of the DFT code on a massively parallel system, which is demonstrated by scaling benchmarks in Fig. 2.

Although DFT calculations are generally considered to be demanding with respect to memory and I/O bandwidth, we can show that large systems can be handled efficiently on the Blue Gene despite its severe restrictions concerning operating system, partitioning and main memory per node. So far, systems of up to 923 spin polarized transition metal atoms, corresponding to 8350 valence electrons have been successfully treated on the Blue Gene/P. First tests confirmed that systems up to N = 1415 atoms will be possible to calculate. The left diagram of Fig. 2 demonstrates that the VASP code can achieve 69% of the ideal performance on 1024 processors of the Blue Gene/L for a Fe<sub>561</sub> cluster; in this case, the coprocessor mode was used to make use of the full memory per node on one processor. Especially the optimization of the trial wavefunctions according to the residual vector minimization scheme (labeled 'RMM-DIIS' in Fig. 2) scales nearly perfectly, even at the largest processor numbers under consideration. However, with increasing number of processors, its computation time gets outweighed by other routines ('EDDIAG' and 'ORTCH') providing the calculation of the electronic eigenvalues, subspace diagonalization and orthonormalization of the wavefunctions, making use of linear algebra routines from the ScaLAPACK library and performing fast Fourier transforms. The scaling of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The numbers for the main memory provided here apply to the Blue Gene installations at Forschungscentrum Jülich, the maximum memory configurations available from IBM are actually twice as large.



Figure 2: Left: Scaling behavior of a nanocluster with 561 Fe atoms on the IBM Blue Gene/L obtained with the VASP code. The performance given by the inverse average computation time for an electronic self-consistency step,  $\tau_{\rm SC}^{-1}$ , is shown as a function of the number of cores (black circles). The dashed lines describe the ideal scaling behavior. The inset shows a double logarithmic plot of  $\tau_{\rm SC}$ . The open symbols in the inset refer to the scaling of the most time consuming subroutines. Right: Scaling behavior of a nano-cluster with Fe<sub>265</sub>Pt<sub>296</sub> (561 atoms) on a contemporary PC-cluster (eight boards with 2 × 2.2 GHz quad-core Opteron processors and Infiniband interconnect). The performance data were obtained with the same code in the same fashion as for the Blue Gene/L.

these routines may become the primary obstacle, when attempting to run the VASP code on  $\mathcal{O}(10^4)$  processors as provided by next-generation supercomputers.

A comparable benchmark of the VASP code on contemporary off-the-shelf PC-hardware, however, lead to a rather disillusioning result (Fig. 2, right side). Despite extensive tuning, acceptable scaling was achieved only with up to 100 cores. This demonstrates, that it is not the code alone which should be made responsible for a dissatifying parallel performance. When attempting to touch the current limits, special care must be taken in the selection of the appropriate computer hardware, too.

# 3 Structure and magnetism of elemental nanoclusters

Although elemental 3d transition metal nanoclusters – in contrast to binary or multimetallic systems – hardly fulfill the criteria for functional magnetic materials even in the widest sense, we will devote our first section to this topic as structure and magnetism may be delicately related even in these seemingly simple systems (for recent reviews in this field, see, e.g., [42–45]). Elemental nanoparticles have raised much attention since they allow, e.g., in gas phase experiments, the direct observation of the crossover from bulk to atomic properties, circumventing the two- and one-dimensional (surface and chain) cases, which require substrates to stabilize the low dimensional structures experimentally. Prominent examples are the crossover behavior of magnetic moments observed in 3d transition metal nano-clusters as a function of the cluster size, which was studied in the seminal experiments of Billas *et al.* [46–48] or the discovery of significant magnetic moments in small gold nanoparticles or clusters of 4d transition metals as Rh or Pd, which are all non-magnetic in their bulk phases. Not only magnetism, but also the structure of small nanoparticles is strongly affected by the increased surface-to-volume ratio at smaller sizes. This leads to a competition between surface energies and contributions arising from the core structure and internal interfaces and consequently to a crossover between different structural motifs as a function of the cluster size. This has been extensively studied and understood in the framework of simple model systems such as Lennard-Jones-clusters [49–52], which can be easily handled in the framework of classical molecular dynamics and also with empirical model potentials [43,53]. Here, multiply twinned icosahedral and decahedral structures are favored at smaller sizes, while single crystalline morphologies prevail for larger sizes.

Heavier elements as Au and Pt furthermore form planar or even amorphous structures at smaller cluster sizes. Especially for these elements, but also for systems which are expected close to magnetic or magneto-structural instabilities, it may be doubted whether classical molecular dynamics based on empirical pair potentials can give a reliable account on their structural properties without benchmarks against parameter-free first-principles calculations.

Due to the large computational demands, most of these calculations have been performed for small systems with around 50 atoms or less or without structural optimizations (e.g. [54–58] for the case of iron). Nevertheless a couple of early exceptions exist, e.g., for  $Pd_{147}$  [59] and  $Sr_{147}$  [60]. Calculations of Pd isomers with size N = 309 in an icosahedral and octahedral symmetry have also been reported using the Turbomol package [61]. Recently, a report on the size dependence of magnetism in unrelaxed Fe-clusters of up to 400 atoms has been published [62] reproducing the experimental results in the considered size range as it was also found previously from semi-empirical (tight-binding) calculations [63, 64]. A later result from our group covering structurally optimized Fe-clusters with sizes of up to 641 atoms [65], in contrast, showed strong deviations from the experimental data for larger cluster sizes in agreement with atomic shell models [42, 66, 67] which were developed to describe the oscillatory variations found in experiment. Xie and Blackman [68, 69] argued that the neglect of the magnetocrystalline anisotropy of the clusters and thus the coupling of magnetism to the rotational degrees of freedom can lead to a significant underestimation of the moments in the analysis of the time of flight experiments and may thus account for the observed behavior. Our investigations, on the other hand, give evidence that the experimental trend may be also related to the occurrence of a previously unreported shell-wise Mackay-transformed modification at icosahedral magic cluster numbers. This structure is characterized by an icosahedral outer shape, bcc-like coordinated outer shells and a ferrimagnetic face centered cubic (fcc) cluster core [23, 65, 70] and is possibly kinetically stabilized during the gas phase growth process [71]. The next subsection is devoted to discuss these results in detail, as these findings can be understood from the electronic structure.

### 3.1 Structure and magnetism of iron clusters

Iron is certainly one of the most important materials of our time. Not only that it is omnipresent as one of the central constituents of our planets but also due to its manifold applications arising not at least from its unusual allotropy. Iron possesses a complex structural phase diagram, with the body centered cubic (bcc)  $\alpha$ - and  $\delta$ -phases below 1185 K and above 1167 K, the face centered cubic (fcc)  $\gamma$ -phase in between and the hexagonal close packed (hcp)  $\epsilon$ -phase at high pressures. In the fcc  $\gamma$ -phase a variety of competing magnetic states have been discovered, which were brought in connection with magneto-structural anomalies as the Invar effect and the magnetic shape memory behavior in Fe-rich Fe-Ni, Fe-Pd and Fe-Pd alloys, where the fcc structure is also present at ambient conditions. Also in the form of nanoparticles, iron provides a lot of interesting applications, especially in the field of biomedicine (for an overview, see, e.g. [72]).

The systematic scan of the potential energy surface in order to find the most stable morphologies is a very demanding task even for small clusters containing a hand full to few tens of atoms. But the numerical effort increases exponentially with the size and becomes hopeless in the nanometer range. Therefore, it is necessary to restrict the investigation to a subset of paradigmatic morphologies. As a consequence, so-called magic-number clusters have evolved as the Drosophila of size dependent structural investigations in nanoparticle physics. Their size N is a function of the number n of closed geometric shells:

$$N = 1/3 \left( 10n^3 + 15n^2 + 11n + 3 \right) = 13,55,147,309,561,923,1415,\dots$$
(1)

Magic cluster sizes appear to be particularly stable for the late 3d elements [73], especially Ni and Co. Magic number clusters according to Eq. (1) allow for a comparison of several paradigmatic geometries: Single-crystalline cuboctahedra with face centered cubic (fcc) structure, perfect Mackay-icosahedra [74] and Ino-decahedra [75], i.e., pentagonal bi-pyramids with symmetrical truncations of their ten faces. Isomers with a body centered cubic (bcc) lattice, which are indispensable for ferrous systems, can be obtained from cuboctahedra by a Bain-transformation [76].

In contrast to the ideal morphologies according to Eq. (1), the geometries observed in experiments are often showing modifications which reflect the competition between obtaining spherical shape and a favorable ratio between facets with different crystallographic orientations and different surface energies. Improved fcc isomers can be constructed according to a Wulff construction [77]. Also, Marks-decahedra [78] with smaller truncations and reentrant cuts at the edges are often found to be more favorable than Ino-decahedra. The optimized morphologies usually harbor a different number of atoms for each geometrical motif. For a quantitative prediction of the most likely surface truncations improving the relative stability, the ratio of the surface energies of the competing facets needs to be known in detail. However, the accurate determination of these quantities is a methodologically and computationally demanding task, especially for the case of materials with a strong interdependence between structure and magnetism as iron or in binary alloys. Magic cluster sizes, on the other hand, allow for the direct comparison of the most important structural motifs and are thus at least good for drawing a coarser, more qualitative picture.

Until recently, the structural evolution of iron clusters as a function of particle size had not



Figure 3: Left: Comparison of the energies of various isomers of elemental iron nanoclusters as a function of the number of closed geometric shells n (data from [65]). The bcc isomer has been chosen as reference and thus represents the abscissa. Open circles denote SMT isomers with alternative magnetic configurations which are not lowest in energy. The lines are intended as guide to the eye, broken lines refer to instable structures. The horizontal dashed line marks the bulk value for the fcc-bcc energy difference [79]. The inset shows the variation of the average magnetic moment of the different isomers as a function of the cluster size (experimental moments taken from [47]). Open squares represent non-magic number bcc isomers. Right: Ferrimagnetic spin configurations of the Fe<sub>309</sub> and Fe<sub>561</sub> SMT isomers (n=4 and 5, respectively) shown as cross sections. The arrows refer in length and orientation to the atomic moments. The upper isomers correspond to the high-moment structures with the lowest energy. The isomers on the bottom correspond to low magnetization structures marked by the open circles in the diagram on the left.

been resolved: Transmission electron micrographs (TEM) of 6 nm particles suggest a bcc structure [80], while for 13 atoms, first principles calculations predict a Jahn-Teller distorted icosahedron [81,82]. For the next closed-shell magical number, i.e. 55 atoms, a previously unreported morphology was found to provide a good candidate for the ground state [65,71]. Based on this discovery, the concept of the so-called shell-wise Mackay-transformed (SMT) morphologies was introduced by Georg Rollmann and Alfred Hucht, which will be explained in more detail below.

On a larger size scale, estimations of the energetic order between the different paradigmatic cluster morphologies were only available from structure optimizations with classical empirical potentials [83], predicting a crossover between icosahedral and bcc morphologies at sizes around 2000 atoms, while the binding energies were reported to be comparatively close for these morphologies. Keeping in mind that these calculations largely neglect the influence of magnetism on structure, which is especially important in the case of iron, this result cannot be considered conclusive. A detailed analysis based on first-principles electronic structure methods was thus launched by us to settle this fundamental question [65]. The investigation comprised icosahedral, cuboctahdral and bcc (Bain-transformed cuboctahedra) clusters with up to five completed geometrical shells according to Eq. (1), i.e. N = 561 atoms corresponding to, approximately, 2.5 nm in diameter. In addition, more spherical bcc geometries were considered with non-magic sizes up to N = 641 atoms. Technically, the calculations were carried out using the VASP code in the fashion described in the preceding section including unconstrained geometric optimizations on the Born-Oppenheimer surface. The total energies resulting for different geometries as a function of the number of closed geometric shells displayed in Fig. 3 reveal that for three closed shells (147 atoms) and larger, the bcc isomer clearly marks the lowest energy conformation, especially in comparison to (high-symmetry) icosahedral and the cuboctahedral isomers, which rapidly approach with increasing n the energy difference between the bcc and fcc phases obtained by bulk *ab initio* calculations [79]. An extensive comparison between the total energies of a vast number of unrelaxed geometries at intermediate sizes obtained with the PARSEC real space DFT method suggests that bcc isomers supersede icosahedral and fcc motifs already below N = 100 [62,65]. The average magnetic moments obtained by both approaches agree well for the bcc case, while for cuboctahedra and icosahedra, the fully optimized structures rather exhibit an onion-type ferrimagnetic ordering of the closed geometric shells reducing the average moment shown in the inset of Fig. 3 considerably. The magnetic ordering of Fe cuboctahedra as a function of the lattice spacing has been investigated recently in more detail [84,85]. Compared with the experimental data of Billas et al. [46, 47], the theoretical data follow the experimental trend up to sizes of N = 400 atoms but deviate significantly beyond. This also applies for magic number clusters as well as for more compact bcc clusters up to N = 641 atoms, which possess an improved surface-to-volume ratio as compared to the Bain-transformed cuboctahedra, and is in accordance to previous results obtained by other approaches [42].

For all sizes, cuboctahedral and icosahedral shapes are only maintained during the optimization procedure, if the atoms are strictly kept in their symmetric positions and additional symmetry constraints for charge densities and forces are imposed. Already small distortions along the Mackay-path [74] are sufficient to induce a transformation, which finally leads to the above mentioned shell-wise Mackay-transformed conformation. The SMT state is very robust and can be obtained from different initial configurations [86] and has recently also been reproduced by classical molecular dynamics simulations with empirical EAM potentials [87] for clusters with up to 15 closed geometric shells [88].

The Mackay-path is analogously to the Bain-path [76], which connects fcc and bcc bulk phases by changing the extension of the *c*-axis with respect to the equal *a* and *b* axes of the crystal, a diffusionless, displacive mechanism relating the cuboctahedron with a single-crystalline fcc structure to the non-crystallographic icosahedron. During this process twelve pairwise adjacent triangular (111)-faces of the icosahedron stretch along their common edge and turn into the same plane to form the six square (100)-faces of the cuboctahedron. An illustration of this process is given in Fig. 4 for the case of the N = 561 isomers. The original Mackay process affects all shells simultaneously. Such homogenous transformations have been recently studied in detail for magic number Pb clusters of different sizes with *ab intio* methods [89]. In the SMT isomers, however, the degree of transformation varies gradually from shell to shell, from a perfect cuboctahedron in the particle core, to a nearly icosahedral shape of the surface shell. This is



Figure 4: Edge models of the optimized Fe<sub>561</sub> icosahedron (a), cuboctahedron (b) and SMT isomer (c). Separately for each shell, the corner atoms of the faces are connected by lines, the atoms themselves are omitted for clarity. The complete SMT isomer including all atomic positions is shown in (d). For icosahedra and cuboctahedra, shells of the same shape but different sizes are stacked into each other. For the SMT isomer, the shape of the outermost shell is icosahedral and continuously changes towards the inside along the Mackay-path to a cuboctahedron, which represents the shape of the innermost shell, which is reflected by the gradual change of color from red to blue in (c) and (d). The Mackay transformation works by stretching the bond  $\overline{AC}$  of the icosahedron and turning the two adjacent triangular faces ABC and ACD into the same plane producing the square face ABCD of the cuboctahedron.

slightly distorted by the inward bending of the common edge between the two triangular facets which correspond to the square face of the cuboctahedron. The SMT represents the lowest energy isomer for n=2 and is only slightly higher in energy than the Jahn-Teller distorted icosahedron with only one closed shell for N = 13. For  $n \ge 3$ , however, the bcc isomers form the ground state. Nevertheless, the energy of the SMT is largely reduced compared to the symmetric icosahedra and cuboctahedra and still in the range of thermal energies to the bcc isomer for N = 561. Also the magnetic structure differs: While still being ferrimagnetic with an onion-type (shell-wise) alternating arrangement of layers in the particle core, the average moment of the SMT is systematically larger than the moment of icosahedra and cuboctahedra: For the SMT the outermost three shells are ferromagnetically aligned (cf. right side of Fig. 3), while for the latter only surface and sub-surface shells have the same magnetization direction. Due to its ferrimagnetic spin structure, the average moment of the SMT isomer is considerably smaller than the average bcc moment and agrees therefore much better with the experimental data. It is certainly too speculative to conclude from this evidence that the occurence of SMT isomers around N = 561 explains the dip in the cluster moments in the experiments of Billas *et al.*, but it should be kept in mind, that icosahedral features are frequently observed in many materials during solidification from the melt or during gas phase synthesis [90]. Also, from recent DFT calculations, icosahedral or, respectively, SMT-growth has been proposed as a likely growth mode for small Fe-clusters from the gas phase [71].

The search for the origin of this unusual transformation requires a more detailed structural analysis. For several hundred atoms, the inspection of the structural environment at the atomistic level may, however, become a tedious and fallible task without appropriate statistical means. A well corroborated approach has been developed in the classical molecular dynamics community



Figure 5: Cross sections of optimized geometries of 561-atom iron nanoparticles. The color coding describes the local coordination of the atoms obtained by a common neighbor analysis. Bright colors refer to perfect matching of the CNA-signatures with the ideal bulk and surface configurations. With increasing number of deviations the colors turn into grey.

where the classification of structural transformations in large systems is a common request. The so-called common neighbor analysis (CNA) [91, 92] allows a comprehensive comparison of the SMT structure with other morphologies. If we restrict ourselves to the first (for bcc up to the second) neighbor shell, a set of three-index-signatures provides a classification of the local structural environments of the atoms in the cluster. The indices refer – in the respective order – to the number of nearest neighbors both atoms have in common, the number of bonds between those neighbors, and the longest chain of bonds connecting them. Each ideal bulk structure is characterized by a specific set of 12 to 14 individual signatures. For surface and sub-surface atoms different signatures apply. A comparison of the CNA of atoms of the SMT with the respective positions in the other, ideal isomers can now attribute for each atom a specific characterization of the local environment. Figure 5 shows the result of a CNA applied to the optimized isomers, the colors indicating the local structural environment. In many cases, the coincidence is not perfect and few signatures differ with respect to the ideal cases. Here, the colors turn into grey with increasing number of non-matching signature, which marks an unidentified environment. While the bcc and fcc clusters are uniform in structure, we find for the icosahedron the typical mixture of fcc, hcp and – along the five-fold symmetry axes – icosahedral environments. The SMT isomer, however, shows a rather unexpected pattern: While the central atoms are fcc coordinated according to the nearly completed Mackay-transformation of these shells, we find no trace of the typical icosahedral signatures in the outermost shells which, however, retain their overall icosahedral shape. Instead, their signatures show typical signs of the energetically favorable bcc-like coordination, suggesting that the affinity of bulk-Fe to form a bcc lattice at low temperatures is also responsible for the stabilization of SMT structures in nanoparticles. In this case bcc-specific features should also show up in the electronic density of states (DOS).

A comparison of the DOS for different N = 561 morphologies is presented in Fig. 6. For the bcc isomer, the partial DOS of the core and subsurface atoms exhibit the typical valley in the



Figure 6: Total electronic density of states of the four Fe<sub>561</sub> morphologies (thick black lines). Positive densities refer to the majority-spin states, negative to the minority-spin channel. The colored lines mark the shell-resolved partial contributions. Thick bright blue lines denote the DOS of the innermost three shells (147 atoms), thin violet lines the sub-surface shell (162 atoms) and the broken red lines refer to the surface shell (252 atoms). In order to concentrate on the essential features, the curves have been artificially broadened according to a Gaussian distribution with  $\sigma = 0.2 \text{ eV}$ .

center of the d band – a characteristic feature for bulk bcc alloys. While the majority d band is nearly filled, the Fermi level locks into this valley in the minority channel, providing a motivating argument for the extraordinary stability of the ferromagnetic  $\alpha$ -phase of bulk iron [93]. In fact, the partial contributions of sub-surface and surface shells bear strong similarities to the bcc case, while the partial contributions of the core atoms with their ferrimagnetic alignment rather resemble the result for the cuboctahedral or icosahedral morpholgies.

While the density of states can in priciple be detected by photoemission experiments, the particular examples provided in Fig. 6 may – except for the case of the bcc cluster – not provide sufficiently distinctive features to discriminate between the structural motifs. An experimentally accessible quantity, which is directly related to the structure, is the pair distribution function (PDF). The inhomogeneous structural environment of the SMT results in a PDF which is qualitatively different from the other morphologies (Fig. 7). It neither compares well to a linear combination of fcc and bcc PDF, as could have been guessed from the CNA, results but rather to the experimental results of Ichikawa *et al.* [94] obtained from a thin film produced by low-temperature condensation of evaporated iron. These exhibit features which are typical for



Figure 7: Pair distribution functions (PDF) of the  $Fe_{561}$  isomers discussed in Fig. 5. For comparison also a linear combination of the bcc and the cuboctahedral PDF (top right) as well as the experimental PDF (bottom right) of an Fe-film produced condensation of evaporated iron [94] is shown (theoretical data from [70]).

amorphous alloys and were thus interpreted in this sense. Other related experiments are based on a sonochemical approach [95].

It has to be noted at this point, that while the existence of certain amorphous Fe-based alloys is well established in literature (see, e.g., [96] and references herein), the possibility of amorphous pure iron is highly debated. While the SMT isomer is not amorphous in a literal sense, the transformation may be compared to the rosette-like structural excitations which were recently discussed as amorphization mechanism for Pt and Au nanoclusters [97]. Recently, an intermediate amorphous-like phase was reported from empirical molecular dynamics simulations of  $2.5 \text{ nm Fe}_{80}\text{Ni}_{20}$  clusters [98], which shows striking similarities concerning its PDF and other structural motifs as the wave-like bending of atomic columns and planes, which is visible in Fig. 4. This can be seen as a further indication that SMT morphologies may in fact be realized in the experiment under certain environmental conditions.

## 3.2 Cobalt and nickel nanoparticles

Due to the multitude of different possible bulk phases with distinct magnetic properties, iron is certainly an exceptional case, also with respect to its properties on the nanoscale. For the other elements showing ferromagnetism in the bulk phase, a comparably rich behavior is not expected. Figure 8 compares thus only the two most important magic number morphologies, icosahedra and cuboctahedra. For Ni and Co, icosahedra are strongly preferred over the whole size range, the energy difference being much larger as compared to Fe, especially in the case of Co. The magnetic properties approach the bulk values faster with increasing cluster size as



Figure 8: Left: Energy difference between cuboctahedra and icosahedra of Co, Ni and Fe as a function of the cluster size. Right: Size dependece of the averaged magnetic moment of Co and Ni clusters.

it is the case for iron, agreeing well with combined time-of-flight mass-spectroscopy and Stern-Gerlach measurements [48] and the results of the extensive tight-binding studies of Xie and Blackman [15,99,100]. This makes these elements appear more suited for a successful description with emprirical potentials. Especially in the case of Ni, the calculated energy differences between icosahedra and cuboctahedra coincide from N = 147 atoms upwards surprisingly well with the early results of Cleveland and Landman [53] based on empirical pair potentials.

From the electronic structure point of view, it is tempting to classify the preference for the icosahedral structure in terms of the electronic density of states. From Fig. 9 it becomes evident that the core and sub-surface contribution do not differ significantly between the two morphologies of the same element and only slightly between the elements (except for the different exchange splitting). The most distinctive changes are visible with respect to the DOS of the surface atoms suggesting that – in contrast to iron – the surface energy contributions play the dominant role in the determination of the particle shape.

# 4 Binary transition metal nanoparticles – in search of ultrahigh density magnetic recording materials

In the field of ultra-high density magnetic recording (for an overview, see, e.g. [101]), the longlasting exponential increase in storage density over time still seems unbroken: While about a decade ago, densities of 35 GBit/in<sup>2</sup> were state-of-the-art [7], the manufacturers hope to go up to 10 to 50 TBit/in<sup>2</sup> in the future. However, to reach this goal, it is necessary to switch to new types of recording media. Magnetic data storage as in conventional hard disk drives currently relies on thin CoCrPtB microstructured magnetic films consisting of single crystalline grains with polydisperse size distribution. To average out the noise, the information has to be stored



Figure 9: Electronic density of states of  $Co_{561}$  and  $Ni_{561}$  icosahedra and cuboctahedra. Lines and colors have the same meaning as in Fig. 6.

in the different magnetization directions of around 50 to 100 of loosely coupled grains.

The main obstacle to further miniaturization is the so-called superparamagnetic limit, which threatens the long-time stability of the information stored. The Néel relaxation time of a macrospin with uniaxial anisotropy, representing the recording media grain, has an exponential dependence on the product of anisotropy constant and volume divided by temperature. This imposes a lower boundary for the possible size of a grain which keeps its magnetization unaffected by thermal relaxation processes at room temperature for a sufficiently long period of time (usually decades). Today, two approaches beyond current technologies are discussed:

- Replacement of polycrystalline films by patterned media, where each bit is represented by a single thermally stable magnetic particle. This reduces dramatically the area needed for one bit and also the interaction between neighboring grains but demands high standards with respect to monodispersity, magnetic properties and arrangement of the particles. Remarkable progress has been made in this field in the past years [5,6,102].
- Use of high-anisotropy materials. This allows a reduction of the grain size stability limit inversely proportional to the increase in the anisotropy constant [7,101]. Materials, which are currently widely discussed in this respect are Fe-Pt and Co-Pt [103]. Several studies had suggested that particles sizes as small as 3 nm (for Fe-Pt, inferred from the bulk anisotropy constant) could be sufficient for thermally stable storage of information [5,104,105] (for a comparison of several possible materials, see Tab. 3).

Alloy	$K_{\mathrm{u}}$	$H_{\rm C}$	$D_{\rm p}$
	$(10^7{\rm erg/cm^3})$	(kOe)	(nm)
CoCrPt	tB 0.33	20	9.1
Со	0.45	6	8.0
FePd	1.8	33	5.0
FePt	6.6 - 10	116	$\approx 3.0$
$\operatorname{CoPt}$	4.9	123	3.6
MnAl	1.7	69	5.1

Table 3: Collection of characteristic properties of contemporary and future storage media materials capable of storing information over a decade in grain sizes smaller than 10 nm (taken from [7]). Listed are: Uniaxial anisotropy  $K_{\rm u}$ , coercitive field  $H_{\rm C}$ , critical particle size  $D_{\rm p}$ . The figure on the right visualizes the L1<sub>0</sub> structure of FePt, FePd, CoPt and MnAl.

Structural and magnetic properties of binary nanoparticles formed of the transition metal alloy Fe-Pt have been subject to a considerable number of investigations during the past years (for a recent overview, see, e.g. [106]). The stoichiometric L1<sub>0</sub>-phase FePt, CoPt and FePd is characterized by a face centered tetragonal (fct) lattice with a layer-wise stacking of 3d and fourthand fifth row transition metals along the shortened axis. The alternation of the elemental constituents gives rise to the unusually large magnetocrystalline anisotropy – rather than the slight tetragonal distortion of a few percent [107]. Current production routes for Fe-Pt nanoparticles are to fabricate disordered fcc particles from gas phase experiments [108, 109] or wet-chemical production routes [5,110,111] and to obtain the ordered  $L1_0$  phase in a further annealing step [6]. However, in recent times it was reported that  $L1_0$  particles with a sufficient magnetocrystalline anisotropy may be difficult to obtain in the interesting size range [110, 112-115]. Recently, improved results have been reported after embedding the particles in a matrix, in micelles or by irradiating them with ions [116–118]. In most cases, however, compared to the coercivity of the bulk materials in Table 3 the results obtained for small nanoparticles are rather disappointing (e.g. [119]). Part of the experimental studies relate this to the incomplete order in these systems as there is a critical particle size, below which sufficient ordering cannot be achieved for thermodynamical reasons. Another possible explanations, which also accounts for larger particle sizes, is related to the appearance of domains with different orientations of  $L_{10}$  structure in the same particle, counteracting the development of one stable easy axis. Indeed, high resolution transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM) showed the occurrence of multiply twinned morphologies such as icosahedra and decahedra already at particle sizes around 6 nm [120-122]consisting of several strained twins (20 in the case of Mackay-icosahedra and 5 in the case of decahedra). Therefore such morphologies cannot be expected to exhibit a large uniaxial magneto crystalline anisotropy, even if the individual twins are perfectly  $L_{10}$ -ordered, because of their different crystallographic orientations.

As the crossover effects between different geometries at small particle sizes can be traced back to a competition between surface and core energies, two possible routes open up to suppress unwanted multiply twinned morphologies – either by changing the ratio of the surface energy of different faces, which can be effectively done by suitable ligands in wet-chemical approaches, or, on the other side, by increasing the energy related to internal lattice defects, such as twin boundaries, which could be achieved, e.g., by alloying.

### 4.1 Fe-Pt and Co-Pt nanoparticles for data recording applications

In many elementary nanoparticles with fcc ground state in bulk, a competition between different morphologies is observed owing to the favorable (111)-surfaces of the icosahedron, which gives the dominating energetic contribution at small particle sizes. In binary systems like Fe-Pt, segregation and ordering tendencies have to be considered, too. In this respect, we distinguish three different classes: Disordered structures, ordered isomers and core-shell structures, corresponding to perfect surface segregation, with all Pt placed on the surface or in the shell below. The composition was fixed to the near-stoichiometric perfectly  $L1_0$  ordered cuboctahedra which are the most relevant isomers from the technological point of view. Although orbital magnetism is not explicitly included in our calculations, it is obvious from geometric considerations that the multiply twinned morphologies, even if the individual twins are  $L1_0$  ordered, will not show the desired uniaxial magnetic anisotropy due to the multiple orientations of the twins in the nanoparticles. A deep understanding of the interplay between geometric structure, chemical ordering and magnetic properties will therefore be an important step towards the production of high anisotropy nanomaterials for ultra-high density magnetic data recording.

So far, most *ab initio* studies of binary transition metal clusters were restricted to rather small sizes (for a recent review, see [123]). Thus, most theoretical investigations of Fe-Pt and Co-Pt nanoparticles have been carried out using continuum models [124], classical Monte-Carlo and molecular dynamics simulations with empirical model potentials [125–130] or semi-empirical methods [131,132]. The magnetism of spherical 2.5-5 nm  $L1_0$  Fe-Pt nanoparticles embedded in a disordered alloy matrix has been investigated by means of the locally self-consistent multiple scattering (LSMS) method [133].

In order to shed more light on the origin of the experimental problems from the electronic structure point of view, we started *ab initio* calculations of Fe-Pt and Co-Pt nanoparticles with up to 923 atoms ( $\approx 3.0 \text{ nm}$  in diameter), comparing more than 60 configurations of particles of both materials with different sizes [134–136]. Although the largest particles are still too small to avoid superparamagnetism, general trends can be formulated from the results, as the largest clusters already possess a balanced surface-to-volume ratio (45% at 2.5 nm as compared to 32% at 4 nm).

The systematic investigation of binary alloy clusters raises more complications due to the additional compositional degree of freedom. Apart from the competition of various geometries, also order-disorder and surface segregation effects must be taken into account. Therefore, we must restrict ourselves, again, to a small number of paradigmatic morphologies. In addition, perfectly chemically ordered or segregated structures exist only for particular compositions and this usually depends on the particular distribution motif of the elements, such as a layered or shell-wise ordering or a perfect core-shell like configuration. Comparing perfect motifs would require to relate calculations for different compositions, which hampers the direct comparison of the relative stability of the isomers. In order to avoid these problems, we will chose one specific composition and most compositional motifs will consequently be approximated by randomly distributing the atoms of the excess species on the designated sites of the short element. As the central concern of our work is related to nanoparticles for ultra-high-density recording purposes, the stoichiometric  $L1_0$  fct isomer with alternating Fe and Pt layers along the shortened *c*-axis is a distinguished case, since this is the closest approximant of the bulk alloy structure and thus expected to show the desired large magnetocrystalline anisotropy. Due to the symmetry of the structure, the two (001)-surfaces of the  $L1_0$  cuboctahedra have to be terminated by one species, either completely with iron or with platinum, respectively. This results in a slight off-stoichiometry which decreases with increasing cluster size. For the Pt-rich case, the size dependence of the composition is given by the following relations

$$N_{\rm Fe} = \frac{1}{3} \left( 5n^3 + 6n^2 + 4n \right) = 5,24,67,144,265,440,\dots,$$
  

$$N_{\rm Pt} = \frac{1}{3} \left( 5n^3 + 9n^2 + 7n + 3 \right) = 8,31,80,165,296,483,\dots,$$
(2)

where n is again the number of complete geometric shells. Exemplary *ab initio* calculations of  $Fe_{265}Pt_{296}$  clusters [135] demonstrate that in comparison to the perfectly  $L1_0$  ordered Fe-covered isomer, a redistribution of the atoms in connection with covering the surface with Pt leads to a considerable gain in energy despite the imperfection of the order by placing the excess Fe on the Pt-antisites. Therefore, in thermodynamic equilibrium, the Pt-dominated morphologies should dominate and we consequently focused on the isomers with predominately Pt-covered surfaces. This reasoning comes closest to the gas phase production route; for wet chemical processes the kinetics of formation plays a much bigger role, which we currently cannot cover by *ab initio* simulations.

#### 4.1.1 Size dependent properties of Fe-Pt nanoparticles

The investigated isomers are categorized in three major groups, ordered structures, disordered structures and core-shell motifs, which are symbolized by the colored boxes in Fig. 10. The ordered morphologies (blue box) refer to the structures which reflect the strong tendency towards  $L1_0$  ordering in bulk Fe-Pt. These comprise the  $L1_0$  ordered cuboctahedron as the reference structure and an Ino-decahedron with its five twins individually  $L1_0$  ordered and Pt-terminated (001)-surfaces. The ordered icosahedron was obtained by applying a full transformation along the Mackay-path [74] on the positions of the  $L1_0$  cuboctahedron, while keeping the occupancy of the sites by the atomic species fixed, since this largely preserves the local chemical enviroment of the atoms. In fact, the multiply twinned ordered morphologies turn out to be lower in energy than the reference isomer, supporting the experimentally observed trends. This is especially significant for small clusters, with decreasing tendency towards larger sizes. For the ordered icosahedron a crossover to the single crystalline  $L_{10}$  morphology is taking place around 600 atoms, which is in excellent agreement with the cross-over size predicted on the basis of continuum-models for a related icosahedron with 20 individually ordered  $L1_0$  twins with random orientation of the c-axis [124]. It should be kept in mind, that the nominal composition is varying with cluster size, which affects mainly the smallest investigated sizes.

For comparison, the three paradigmatic cluster shapes have also been studied as chemically disordered isomers (green box). The icosahedral morpholgies are again preferred over the decahedral and single crystalline structure, but all corresponding energies are considerably above the values of the ordered morphologies, which is expected due to the strong ordering tendencies



Figure 10: Morphologies of Fe-Pt nanoparticles and their corresponding energies as a function of the cluster size. The data up to N = 561 are taken from [134]. In the diagram, cuboctahedra are represented by squares, icosahedra by circles and decahedra by pentagons; the lines are guides to the eye. The energy reference is defined by the L1<sub>0</sub> cuboctahedron. Shaded (green) symbols denote disordered isomers, hatched (blue) symbols ordered structures, thick and nested symbols core-shell (red) icosahedra and cuboctahedra as well as icosahedra with shell-wise ordering tendencies (violet). Around the diagram, examples of the simulated cluster structures are shown. Only the structures with N = 561 atoms (265 Fe and 296 Pt) are depicted. Blue spheres refer to Fe atoms, brown spheres to Pt. The icosahedral core-shell structures on the right are shown as cross sections, visualizing the inner arrangement of the atomic species. The circles drawn on top of the lowest-energy icosahedron (right bottom) visualize the alternating, onion-type arrangement of Fe- and Pt-rich shells.

in bulk Fe-Pt. In order to obtain a quantitative estimate, configurational averaging over a large number of distributions would be necessary, especially for the smaller sizes, which has been omitted in the present study.

The third important class (red box) represents the core-shell morphologies. Again, Pt atoms are expected to segregate to the surface, while the Fe-atoms occupy predominately the core sites. For N = 147, where Pt covers 80 of the 92 surface sites, this is a very favorable arrangement. For larger clusters, the number of Pt atoms exceeds the number of surface sites, for N = 309 by 3 atoms and 44 atoms for N = 561. Placing these atoms into the subsurface shell, which corresponds to complete segregation, is obviously becoming a much less advantageous structural configuration as demonstrated by the broken red line in Fig. 10. However, if the subsurface shell is kept Pt-depleted and the Pt atoms occupy sites of the third shell instead, the energy

is decreased by sizeable  $42 \,\mathrm{meV}/\mathrm{atom}$ . This isomers can also be understood as a shell-wise alternating arrangement of pure Fe and Pt-enriched closed geometric shells with an extreme concentration gradient in the Pt-enriched layers ranging from 0% from the center to 100% on the surface. In fact, icosahedral configurations with a concentration gradient have been proposed recently to explain high-resolution transmission electron micrographs of larger icosahedral Fe-Pt clusters with a diameter around 6 nm [122]. A configuration in which all Pt-containing shells have the same relative composition refers to another limiting case. Such isomers are depicted in Fig. 10 by triply nested circles and mark the lowest energy isomers throughout the whole investigated size range. This morphology marks an intermediate between core-shell and ordered structures with an incomplete  $L1_1$  ordering within each of the 20 twins. The  $L1_1$  structure is defined by a layer-wise alternation of [111] planes of the fct lattice occupied by different elements, terminated in our case by the 20 (111)-surfaces of the icosahedra. Structures with  $L1_1$  ordering are known from the bulk Cu-Pt alloy [137] but not from bulk Fe-Pt or Co-Pt. Here, obviously the low surface energy of the Pt-terminated (111) surfaces overcompensate the energy contributions due to twinning and the strained  $L1_1$  arrangement of the core atoms. As a consequence, the energetic advantage over the single crystalline  $L_{10}$  isomer is decreasing with increasing particle size. A tentative extrapolation leads to the expectation that the crossover between these two structural motifs will occur around 8 closed shells or a diameter around 4 nm, respectively.

Isomers with N = 147 atoms or larger show a more or less uniform, size independent behavior for average magnetization per atom [134], which takes values in a corridor of about  $\pm 0.1 \mu_{\rm B}$  around the average bulk value [138], which is in good agreement with previous DFT studies of unrelaxed Fe-Pt clusters with up to 135 atoms [58]. Exceptions are observed for the core-shell isomers, which show a reduced average moment due to ferrimagnetic alignment of the spins in the Fe-rich core. From DFT calculations it has been predicted [139–142], that the ordered L1<sub>0</sub> phase is close to an instability towards an antiferromagnetic (AF) structure described by ferromagnetic (FM) Fe-layers alternating along the *c*-axis. The origin has been traced back to a competition between a Pt-mediated ferrmomagnetic coupling and a direct antiferromagnetic exchange between the layers [141,143], similar to the  $\alpha$ -FeRh alloy which in fact shows a temperature induced AF-FM metamagnetic transition [144]. The hypothetic AF phase is characterized by a slightly increased tetragonal distortion with nearly the same atomic volume [142]. In fact, AF isomers which are nearly degenerate in energy can be stabilized in calculations. Disorder and magnetocrystalline anisotropy, however, are expected to work in favor of the FM phase [135,139,140,145]. Entropic arguments may also play a role [143, 146–148].

From experimental side, it has been argued that an inhomogeneous distribution of the Feand Pt-species may lead to local deviations from the stoichiometric compositions which can decisively influence the magnetic properties of these isomers [106]. A more detailed analysis of the distribution of the magnetic moments with respect to their structural environment is given in Fig. 11. Here, the site-specific magnetic moments of all Fe and Pt atoms in selected  $Fe_{265}Pt_{296}$ are plotted versus their distance to the center of the particle. To provide further information on the structural environment, we use open and filled symbols, alternating with the index nof the geometric shells according to Eq. (1), to which the respective atoms belong to. For all isomers, there is a continuous increase of the iron mangnetic moment from the center of the cluster towards the surface, while the induced Pt-moments appear to be nearly constant or



Figure 11: Spatial distributions of the element specific magnetic spin-moments of several  $Fe_{265}Pt_{296}$  nanoparticles from Fig. 10. In addition to these, also a core-shell cuboctahedron with Pt-depleted subsurface shell has been considered. Fe-moments are represented by triangles, pointing upwards (blue) for alignment parallel the total magnetization, downwards (green) for antiferromagnetic alignment. Pt-moments are depicted by brown circles. Moments belonging to geometric shells with even index number n are marked by open symbols, atoms belonging to shells with odd n by filled symbols.

even decrease, as for the core-shell morphologies. For the disordered isomers, there are large fluctuations in the Fe- and Pt-moments owing to the inhomogeneous chemical environment of the Fe atoms. This is largely reduced for the ordered structures, as there are many equivalent sites consequently characterized the same magnetic moment and distance from the center. The moment of the central atom is significantly lower in the icosahedral structure, as this position is strongly compressed by the surrounding atoms, which are much closer than in the cuboctahedral structures. This is a consequence of the fact that that the inter-shell atomic distances are by about 5 % smaller than the intrashell distances in ideal icoshaedra, because all of its neigbors are located in the first shell, so that for symmetry reasons there is no possibility for a compensation. This compression is strongest in the case of core-shell isomers which are characterized by a pure iron core with an antiparallel orientation of the first shell. Consequently, the overall diameter of the core-shell icosahedra is about 1-2% smaller than, e.g., for the disordered isomer.

For some of the isomers, the distribution of the positions in Fig. 11 displays marked deviations from the patterns typical for a given morphology. This applies to the fully segerated  $Fe_{265}Pt_{296}$  icosahedron and the core shell cuboctahedron, and is an indication of structural changes. Both



Core-shell icosahedron, fully segregated

Core-shell cuboctahedron with Pt-depleted subsurface shell

Figure 12: Two examples of structural transformations in chemically inhomogeneous clusters. Left: Fully segerated Fe<sub>265</sub>Pt<sub>296</sub> icosahedral isomer (cross-section) The edge model obtained in the spirit of Fig. 4 clearly displays a shellwise Mackay-transformation of the pure iron core. Right: Core-shell cuboctahedron with Pt-depleted subsurface shell, full view and cross-section perpendicular to the viewing plane. This isomer is related to the corresponding icosahedral core-shell morphology by a full transformation along the Mackay-path and is represented in Fig. 10 by doubly nested squares. The Fe-core transforms along the Bain-path, inducing a strong tetragonal distortion of the overall cluster shape.

isomers are shown as cross-sections in Fig. 12. While in the first case a shell-wise Mackaytransformation of the iron core is observed as explained in the last section occurs, the cuboctahedral particle undergoes a (partial) transformation along the Bain-path. Common in both cases is that the transforming pure core is comparatively small and the presence of chemically mixed shells is not sufficient to prevent the transformations.

#### 4.1.2 Chemical trends on structural stability

One suitable way to search for particles with improved properties with respect to magnetic recording applications and, at the same time, to learn more about the physical origin for twinning in FePt particles, is by systematically scanning the periodic table of elements for alternatives. A natural choice is to exchange the 3d element, iron, against the one to its right in the periodic table, cobalt, as bulk L1<sub>0</sub> CoPt is known to exhibit a comparably large magnetocrystalline anisotropy and is thus a reasonable choice as recording material as well. Therefore, the investigation on the size depence of magnetism and structural order has been repeated for Co-Pt in a similar fashion. Systematic replacements of Pt by other 4d and 5d elements are currently under consideration or will be published elsewhere [149]. Figure 13 summarizes the size dependence of the energetic relationship of CoPt clusters for most of the paradigmatic morphologies studied in the preceding section. The energetic order of the morphologies is practically the same as for the Fe-Pt case but appears on a different scale. Again, the L1<sub>0</sub> cuboctahdron of the respective size N serves as reference for the energies. In comparison to Fe-Pt, multiply twinned structures have now moved to considerably lower energies. The onion-type  $Co_{265}Pt_{296}$ icosahedron, which corresponds to the lowest energy Fe-Pt isomer, lies 90 meV/atom lower in energy. This corresponds to approximately 1000 K (in comparison to  $\approx 330$  K in the case of Fe-Pt) and can certainly not be considered in the range of thermal energies. Both segeregated morpholgies (completly segregated and with Pt-depleted subsurface shell) are even found be-



Figure 13: Energies of Co-Pt clusters of various morphologies and sizes. The energy reference is again marked by the  $L1_0$  cuboctahedron. Symbols as in Fig. 10.

low. This demonstrates that segregation must be expected to be the dominant mechanism in thermodynamic equilibrium processes. Nevertheless, successfull synthesis of single crystalline  $L1_0$  Co-Pt particles with diameters of a few nanometers by embedding them into a carbon environment has been reported recently [150, 151], stressing the importance of kinetic processes and the interaction with the substrate in this case, but the obtained magnetocrystalline anisotropy is again far below the expected values.

A qualitative understanding of the chemical trends on the energetic order of morphologies can be gained from a comparison of the respective element resolved electronic densities of states (DOS) [134, 136], as shown in Fig. 14. The DOS of the  $L1_0$  ordered Fe<sub>265</sub>Pt<sub>296</sub> isomer shares the basic features of bulk  $L1_0$ -FePt, which is already the case for much smaller cluster sizes [58]. Hovewer, there are certainly modifications by the surface states, involving still 45% of the atoms at this size. For the *alternating* icosahedron and the  $L1_0$ -ordered cuoctahedron, the Fermi level coincides with minima in the minority-spin DOS, arising from the minority-spin 3*d*-Fe electrons and is not washed out by the surface 3*d*-Fe states. This underlines the importance of the 3*d* electrons of the transition metal element for the stability of the clusters. Indeed, for each morphology, the Pt surface and core contributions remain largely unaltered upon changing the 3*d* constituent of the clusters. In case of the disordered clusters, the minimum in the minorityspin DOS is completely washed out, reflecting that these clusters have generally much higher energies [136].

In addition, characteristic features owing to the different morphologies can be discovered in the



Figure 14: Spin polarized density of states (DOS) of selected  $Fe_{265}Pt_{296}$ ,  $Co_{265}Pt_{296}$  and  $Mn_{265}Pt_{296}$  morphologies (L1<sub>0</sub> ordered cuboctahedron and icosahedron with alternating Fe/Co/Mn and Pt shells) according to Fig. 10 and 13. The thick lines denote the total DOS, the thinner lines the element-specific contributions of the 309 core atoms (full lines, blue for Fe/Co/Mn, brown for Pt). Dashed lines describe the contributions of the 252 surface atoms.

DOS. In the majority channel of the icosahedron there is a dip around  $-2.25 \,\text{eV}$ , surrounded by two maxima, which is only slightly shifted by the replacement of the 3*d* element. This dip nearly coincides with the hump in the minority channel of the L1<sub>0</sub> structures arising from the Pt-contributions. Such features could provide a measure to identify or rule out a population of specific morphologies in spectroscopic measurements [152]. As the Pt contribution remains nearly unaffected by changes of the 3*d* element, a discussion of chemical trends in terms of a simple rigind band picture appears justified. When replacing Fe by Co, the additional *d*electrons of Co must fill up the states in the minority channel, as the 3*d* majority states are already occupied. This necessarily shifts the contributions of Co to lower energies. While the electron densities at the Fermi level  $E_{\rm F}$  are nearly the same for both isomers, the density of the L1<sub>0</sub> minority 3*d*-states encounter a steep increase above  $E_{\rm F}$ . In consequence, there is a larger shift of the 3*d* minority states of the icosahedron, which results in a lower contribution to the band energy with respect to the L1<sub>0</sub> reference.

This simple relationship between the stability of the icosahedra with respect to the  $L1_0$  and the filling of the 3*d* minority channel suggests that removing 3*d* minority spin electrons might work



Figure 15: Snapshots of an MnPt cluster transforming from the ordered icosahedral structure (leftmost) to the  $L1_0$  ordered cuboctahedron (right) during the conjugate gradient structure optimization. The snapshots were taken consecutively every 100 optimization steps.

in favor of the  $L1_0$  structure. This seems in fact to be the case, if Fe is completely replaced by Mn. Here, the alternating icosahedron is located approximately 60 meV/atom above the 561 atom  $L1_0$  cuboctahedron (as compared to  $\approx 30 \text{ meV}/\text{atom}$  below for FePt). The ferromagnetic, ordered icosahedron, which is nearly degenerate for Fe<sub>265</sub>Pt<sub>296</sub>, has become unstable in the Mn-Pt system. During the geometric optimization procedure it transforms downhill to a perfect  $L1_0$  cuboctahedron proving that the Mackay path is a realistic transformation path for magicnumber transition metal systems (Figure 15). Nevertheless, the simple rigid band picture does not hold quite as nicely as for the replacement of Fe by Co. For the alternating icosahedron, e.g., antiferromagnetic alignment of parts of the Mn spins could not be avoided, leading to contributions in the majority channel above the Fermi level and in the minority channel below, which alter the overall shape of the total DOS.

The drawback for using Mn as stabilizing agent for  $L1_0$  particles for magnetic recording purposes is its preference for antiferromagnetic ordering, which is well known for the bulk system and also present in nanoparticles. The lowest energy isomer found so far, is an  $L1_0$  cuboctahedron with staggered antiferromagnetic arrangement of the spins within the Mn layers. In order not to elicit the latent antiferromagnetic tendencies which are present in pure Fe-Pt as discussed above, only small admixtures of Mn can therefore be admissible.

# 5 Magnetic shape memory alloys – a new class of magnetomechanical actuators

Functional magnetic materials in a more conservative sense of the definition are materials where changes in magnetism – e.g., induced by an external magnetic field – directly relate to changes in other properties of interest, such as spatial extension or *vice versa*. One good example in this respect are magnetic shape memory (MSM) alloys, which transform martensitically below the Curie temperature in the ferromagnetic (FM) state. They represent a new class of actuators, which allow for giant magnetic field induced strains (MFIS) of up to about 10% in Ni<sub>2</sub>MnGa [9, 153, 154]. These strains are associated with the super-elastic motion of twin boundaries in the modulated martensitic phase. In prototype Ni<sub>2</sub>MnGa, the martensitic phase is stable below about 274 K, but the transformation temperature can be shifted above room temperature by changing stoichiometry. Depending on temperature and composition, Ni-Mn-Ga exhibits a variety of martensitic phases, each with different relevance to the MSM effect (e.g., [155–157]). Another important aspect, common to most MSM materials, is their compa-



Figure 16: Left: Schematic visualization of the magnetic shape memory effect (magnetic field induced reorientation). Applying a magnetic field favors the martensitic twins with their easy axis parallel to that direction, causing a magnetic field induces strain (MFIS). Right: L2<sub>1</sub> Heusler structure of austenitic Ni<sub>2</sub>MnGa (black spheres for Ni, purple for Mn, blue for Ga). Depending on temperature and stoichiometry, tetragonal martensitic phases exist with  $c/a \approx 1.2$  as well as commensurate and incommensurate modulated phases with  $c/a = 0.9 \dots 0.94$ .

rably large magnetocrystalline anisotropy in the martensitic phases (e.g., [158]). Together with the low activation energy for twin boundary motion, this is considered a key ingredient for the reversible growth of one martensitic variant on the expense of another in MSM alloys, which leads to the reported large strains. This MSM mechanism is usually referred to as *magnetic field induced reorientation* (MIR). There is another mechanism called *magnetic field induced martensite* (MIM), which can occur when martensitic and magnetic phase boundaries are coupled (for an introduction, see [159]). Such transitions do not necessarily require large uniaxial magnetocrystalline anisotropies and a high mobility of twin boundaries, but rather a martensitic transition temperature in the desired operation range and a large change in magnetization between both phases. In this case, the introduction of a sufficiently strong magnetic field can shift the martensitic transition temperatures and thus reversibly induce a martensitic transition. This effect was observed in polycrystalline Ni-Mn-In with a MFIS comparable to other polycrystalline MSM alloys [160, 161].

The development of novel sensor and actuator systems based on the MSM effect currently concentrates on two classes of materials: The archetypical Ni-based full-Heusler alloys and Fe-Pd based alloys [162] close to the bcc-fcc martensitic transformation. Although the Heusler systems are currently the best studied MSM-materials, several problems are to be solved before they can be used in large scale for industrial grade applications. First, the martensitic and magnetic transition temperatures are, although above room temperature in many cases, still too low for many fields of application, e.g., in the automotive sector. Furthermore, the material is very brittle and thus difficult to handle. For large strains, single crystals are needed, which are difficult and expensive to produce. The Fe-based systems have far better mechanical properties, the biocompatibility of Fe and Pd entitles them for applications within the human body. The Curietemperatures are sufficiently large. However, the required reversible martensitic transition from the austenitic face centered cubic (fcc) to the intermediate martensitic face centered tetragonal (fct) phase, which is relevant for the MSM effect, takes place at even lower temperature than in the Ni-Mn-Ga system [163]. Fe-based MSM systems known so far are based on disordered Fe<sub>70</sub>Pd<sub>30</sub> alloys, ordered Fe<sub>3</sub>Pt and also Fe-Ni-Co alloys with an addition of a few at-% Ti [164].



Figure 17: Element resolved band structure of  $Ni_2MnGa$  for the minority and the majority spin channel. The color coding refers to the contribution of the specified elements. Mixed contributions are marked by intermediate colors.

However, the considerable MFIS observed in the former two, 3.0% for Fe-Pd and 2.3% For Fe<sub>3</sub>Pt, are reported for low temperatures of 77 K and 4 K, respectively [165–167]. At higher temperatures, approaching the austenitic phase, the MFIS is decreasing as does also the c/a ratio, which determines the upper limit of the achievable strain. Electronic structure calculations [168–170] suggest that a band-Jahn-Teller mechanism is responsible for the transition from the fcc to the fct phase which is mandatory for the MSM effect. A similar mechanism is made responsible for the martensitic transition in Heusler-based shape memory alloys [171].

Many essential properties of MSM alloys have been successfully characterized up to now (for a review of previous experimental and theoretical work, see [1, 8–10]). However, one of the central questions, that remains unanswered up to now and is thus central part of our research, is about the origin of the high mobility of the twin boundaries and how a magnetic field allows to introduce large magnetic strains in MIR. Other important questions tackle the applicability of the materials, e.g., the shifting of the transition temperatures of the magnetic and structural phase transitions into the desired range or the optimization of the actuator properties in sub-Tesla magnetic fields. Within this review, we will concentrate on two aspects of the Ni-Mn-Ga system, namely the relationship between magnetism and phase stability and the influence of changes in stoichiometry on the mobility of twin boundaries.

#### 5.1 Magnetism and phase stability in MSM Heusler alloys

The complex relationship between the austenitic and the various martensitic phases, which are present in MSM Heusler alloys, has been subject to numerous theoretical [10, 172–188] and experimental studies. A large fraction of the latter are related to the temperature dependent anomalies in the austenitic phonon dispersions when approaching the (pre-)martensitic transition temperature. This shows up as a temperature depending (incomplete) softening of TA<sub>2</sub> branch in [110] direction at a fraction of  $\xi \approx 1/3$  of the way between the  $\Gamma$ - and the X-point of the Brillouin zone [189–194]. The instability of the TA<sub>2</sub> branch has been related to nesting features of the Fermi-surface in the austenitic phase in literature (see, e.g., [176, 177, 188, 195]) and has



Figure 18: TA<sub>2</sub> branch of the phonon dispersion curves of cubic L2<sub>1</sub> (left) and unmodulated tetragonal Ni<sub>2</sub>MnGa with c/a=0.94 (right) calculated along the [110]-direction for different fixed magnetic moments. To improve visibility, the individual branches are shifted in energy, instable parts (imaginary frequencies) are denoted by broken lines. For the L2<sub>1</sub> case, the branches for  $3.6 \mu_{\rm B}/{\rm f.u.}$  are nearly stable. The equilibrium moment is  $4.06 \mu_{\rm B}$  per formula unit.

been reproduced by DFT calculations [177,181]. However, the softening here is complete, i.e., it leads to imaginary frequencies, which is not surprising as the calculations refer to T = 0, where the cubic L2<sub>1</sub> structure of the austenitic phase is in fact unstable.

One central aspect of our work on Heusler alloys is to understand the relation between magnetism and the complex sequence of phases as a function of temperature. Such a relation has been first proposed by Lee et al. [176]. Later on, the energy landscape and the phonon spectra were evaluated for different magnetizations by using the fixed spin moment method [196]. These calculations highlight the importance of magnetic order as a stabilizing feature of the austenitic phase [183]. Consequently, we also examined the system at smaller values than the equilibrium moment of Ni<sub>2</sub>MnGa [185]. This can be justified by a closer look at the spin and element resolved band structure of  $Ni_2MnGa$  (Fig. 17). Gallium states are present only several eV below and above the Fermi-level and are important to stabilize the Heusler-type structure. Manganese contributions are mainly found below the Fermi level (in the majority channel) or above (in the minority channel). Except for a small portion around the  $\Gamma$ -point, the Fermi surface is dominated by Ni-states in both, minority- and majority-spin channels. Subtle changes of the exchange-splitting can now have decisive effects on the Fermi surface. This can be easily modelled in a Stoner-like approach focusing on the description on the magnetism of the Niatoms. The Ni-atoms do not develop a stable magnetic moment on their own, as the coordination of the Ni-atoms in austenitic L2<sub>1</sub> Ni<sub>2</sub>MnGa is rather body centered cubic than face centered cubic. The observed Ni-Moments are induced by the surrounding Mn atoms and these exhibit a stable, rather localized moment, which has been shown in detail by non-collinear spin-spiral calculations [197]. The Mn states, on the other hand, which are represented less well by this approach, are not expected to take part in the instabilities leading to the reconstruction of the Fermi-surface.



Figure 19: Schematic sketch visualizing the construction of an atomistic twin-boundary model for the martensitich phase, which is compatible with three-dimensional periodic boundary conditions, and the simulation of twin boundary movement by repeated shearing of the cell and relaxiation of the atomic positions as performed in our calculations.

For the resulting phonon dispersions, we found in the austenitic phase a narrow region around  $3.6 \,\mu_{\rm B}/{\rm f.u.}$ , in which the instability at finite  $\xi \approx 0.4$  disappears (Fig. 18). This is only 10 % below and thus in realistic vicinity of the ground state magnetization of  $4.06 \,\mu_{\rm B}/{\rm f.u.}$  and corresponds to a region of the binding surface, where the L2<sub>1</sub> and the L1<sub>0</sub> are close in energy, separated only by a tiny energy wall [185]. In the unmodulated tetragonal structures with c/a = 0.94, the instability at finite  $\xi$  is present for all magnetizations, but varies its position. This is in accordance with the observation that the pseudo-tetragonal martensite with c/a = 0.94 is only stable because of magnetism and modulations in the atomic positions [178, 180, 186] – in contrast to the martensite L1<sub>0</sub> structure with  $c/a \approx 1.25$ , which is found stable at very low temperatures or off-stoichiometric compositions. All of these results demonstrate the extremely closely interrelation of electronic structure, magnetism and phase stability in the MSM Heusler systems.

## 5.2 Twin boundary mobility in magnetic shape memory alloys

The natural approach to look at finite temperature properties, which is also well suited to provide insight into the origin of the high mobility of twin boundaries on the atomistic level, would be, again, classical molecular dynamics simulations. These allow to deal with a sufficiently large number of atoms, but depend on the availability of reliable empirical model potentials. For the case of MSM alloys, up to now, either the Heusler-type or the Fe-based systems, suitable model potentials still do not exist and their development is a very delicate task, since the martensitic instability is closely related to the details of the electronic structure as shown above. Therefore, it remains necessary to perform *ab initio* molecular dynamics simulations to look at the materials properties at the atomic scale. Within this context, in a first step, the mathematical framework for simulating shear induced twin boundary mobility was set up making use of the continuum theory of martensitic transformations [198] and performing first *ab initio* simulations of comparatively small systems (256 atoms) [184] for the non-MSM relevant L1<sub>0</sub> phase as a test case. These calculations have meanwhile been extended to larger and non-stoichiometric systems.



Figure 20: Total energy and magnetic moment are given as a function of the shear of the simulation cell for each completed quasistatic relaxation step for stoichiometric Ni<sub>2</sub>MnGa (left) and off-stoichiometric Ni<sub>2.2</sub>Mn<sub>0.8</sub>Ga (right). Bottom: Selected configurations (energy minima and transition states) of the 512 atom Ni<sub>2.2</sub>Mn<sub>0.8</sub>Ga super-cell resulting from the geometric optimization processes after applying shear on the simulation box (in horizontal direction). The arrows denote the position of the twin boundaries. Same colors as in Fig. 16.

As the material undergoes a martensitic transformation from a high-symmetry parent phase at higher temperatures to a low-symmetry low-temperature phase, so-called twinning will occur. This is due to the different spatial possibilities in which the low symmetry phase can nucleate from the parent phase at the spatially separated seeds for the martensitic transformation. Following the continuum theory of martensitic transformations [198–200]), the transition can be described by a simple homogeneous transformation, characterized by a matrix  $\underline{F}$  and a vector  $\underline{c}$  and, possibly, by an additional shuffle. The martensitic twins are in general represented by different homogeneous transformations, typically connected by symmetry operations, e.g., a rotation which is part of the point group of the parent phase but not of martensite. This information can be used to construct a model of a martensitic twin boundary suitable for atomistic simulations as depicted in Fig. 19 (left). Compatibility with the periodic boundary conditions can be achieved by rotating the system so that the twinning plane given by  $\underline{\hat{n}}$  is parallel to one of boundary planes of the simulation cell. The periodic boundary conditions also imply that there are at least two twin boundaries in the simulation cell. For the transformation to the L1<sub>0</sub> structure, the transformation matrix can be chosen in analogy to the Bain path as a (volume conserving) diagonal matrix with one of the diagonal elements different from the other two. For the transformation to the pseudo-tetragonal structure with c/a < 1, we follow the experimental findings from neutron diffraction data that two consecutive shears on (110) planes in the [ $\overline{110}$ ] directions are involved [201]. As the direct *ab initio* simulation of twin boundary motion induced by a directional change of magnetic field including spin-orbit interactions is exceeding the current possibilities, we induce twin-boundary motion by applying shear on the simulation cell. The induced stress will be resolved in a subsequent relaxation step, as sketched in Fig. 19 (right), possibly leading to the introduction of defects or a shift of the twining plane. Then, in a number of consecutive shear and relaxation steps, a quasi-static picture of twin boundary motion can be achieved.

Fig. 20 shows the result of such a procedure applied to stoichiometric Ni<sub>2</sub>MnGa and offstoichiometric Ni<sub>2.2</sub>Mn<sub>0.8</sub>Ga. Here, a super-cell containing 512 atoms and two martensitic variants were used, the latter with a width of four unit cells each. Due to the periodic boundary condition in all three dimensions, two interfaces are contained in this system. The magnetization shows – unlike in previous simulations with 256 atoms [184] – only a small variation before and after the shifting process, indicating that finite size effects are considerably decreased and cells of 512 atoms may be sufficient to study the shear induced coherent motion process of the twin boundary. The activation energy in Fig. 20 is of the same magnitude as in the smaller case, being of one order larger than the MAE per atom multiplied with the system size [158, 184]. Disorder does apparently not change the energy scale. However, in the stoichiometric case, both twin boundaries move at the same time, while in the off-stoichiometric case, the same twin boundary (in the center) moves in two consecutive steps, while the other (at the cell boundary) remains immobile. The observed energy scale is in accordance with experimental observations and energetic considerations by Kakeshita et al. [167, 202, 203] of Ni<sub>2.14</sub>Mn<sub>0.92</sub>Ga<sub>0.94</sub> in the L1<sub>0</sub> phase with  $c/a \approx 1.20$  and corroborates our previous finding, that despite of its considerably larger magnetocrystalline anisotropy for the L1<sub>0</sub> phase with the large c/a ratio, a magnetic field induced (coherent) rearrangement of twins is not a realistic process for this phase. It has to be noted at this point, that the current understanding is that interface defects like disconnections play a decisive role for the motion of realistic interfaces between phases and twins (see [204, 205]for recent reviews). Corresponding simulations require very large cells, containing more than 10000 atoms and are currently only feasible on the basis of classical molecular dynamics simulations. As discussed above, it is unclear whether accurate empirical potentials can be developed soon. Thus, for now, only first-principles calculations can bring forward the understanding of the atomistic processes at the interface and might serve as helpful benchmarks in future.

While these results are encouraging in the sense that *ab initio* simulations of twin boundary mobility is possible, they also show that it is essential to explicitly consider the modulated phases, as attempts to approximate these by an unmodulated pseudo-tetragonal structure lead to a complete dissolution of the interfaces. This is certainly related to the instable phonon dispersions shown in Fig. 18 and demonstrates that these processes are taking place on a fairly small energy scale. The consideration of the rather large modulated unit cells and the required increase in numerical accuracy will boost the numerical demands, showing, once again, the importance of state-of-the-art high-performance computing for practical materials science applications.

## 6 Conclusions

Within this contribution it is demonstrated in three examples, that first-principles investigations of the interplay between structural and magnetic properties on the nano-scale, covering systems with up to 923 (and, possibly, in future also 1415) spin-polarized transition metal atoms are feasible on current state-of-the-art supercomputers and how these calculations contribute to the understanding of key-properties of functional magnetic materials of technological interest:

- Iron clusters from around  $N \approx 100$  atoms upwards have a bcc structure in the ground state. Icosahedra and cuboctahedra are instable against a partial transformation along the Mackay-path. For N = 55, a shell-wise Mackay-transformed structure is a good candidate for the ground state, and lies within the range of thermal energies for larger sizes. While retaining an icosahedral outer shape, this structure is characterized by a fcc-like coordination of the cluster core and bcc-like coordination of the sub-surface atoms; the corresponding pair distribution function exhibits typical features of amorphous systems. Due to the ferrimagnetic alignment of the spins in the core, the magnetic moment of this morphology is for N = 561 in much better agreement with the experimental data than the bcc isomers.
- For magic number Fe-Pt and Co-Pt nanoclusters, which are of technological interest for ultra-high density magnetic recording applications in their single crystalline L1<sub>0</sub> phase, multiply twinned morphologies are energetically favored up to diameters of at least 3 nm. The lowest energy morphology found for Fe-Pt is an onion-type structure with alternating Fe- and Pt-rich shells and a Pt-covered surface. For Co-Pt, segregated icosahedral morphologies are even more favored, exceeding the range of thermal energies with respect to the L1<sub>0</sub> reference structure. A comparison of the electronic densities of states suggests that the tendency to form multiply twinned morphologies can be controlled by the filling of the 3d minority spin channel. Consequently, multiply twinned morphologies are found suppressed in the case of Mn-Pt nano-clusters. Here, a staggered antiferromagnetic L1<sub>0</sub> structure is found to be lowest in energy.
- To address the mobility of twin interfaces in Ni<sub>2</sub>MnGa shape memory alloys in its various phases, we proposed a simulation scheme, which allows us to get microscopic insight into a stress induced martensitic reorientation process in Ni<sub>2</sub>MnGa by means of *ab initio* molecular statics calculations. Relating the results of the quasistatic simulations of shear-induced twin boundary motion in the L1<sub>0</sub> phase with *c/a*≈ 1.25 to previous calculations of the magnetocrystalline anisotropy energy, we see, that the energy scales encountered in the coherent reorientation processes of twins and magnetization are not of the same order of magnitude. So far, the simulations are restricted to still comparatively small system sizes and defect-free interfaces. Also the experimentally observed modulation in the pseudo-tetragonal and orthorhombic phases with *c/a* < 1, which are the relevant ones for the MSM effect, should be taken into account. All of these amendments are connected with increased numerical demands and still left open for future investigations.</p>

Despite all the benefits which can be expected from the applications point of view, it should be acknowledged that the developers of the DFT packages on the other hand are confronted with the Sisyphean challenge to constantly adapt their codes in order to keep their parallel performance at pace with an exponential development of computing resources. However, regarding the perspectives for the field of materials science, this might be worth the effort. There is plenty of room at the TOP500.

# Acknowledgements

The vast majority of the calculations has been performed on the IBM Blue Gene/L and Blue Gene/P supercomputers of the John von Neumann Institute for Computing (NIC) in Jülich. Without the access to these resources, the work presented above certainly would not have been possible. We thank the staff of the Jülich Supercomputing Center (JSC) and IBM for their continuous support, especially P. Vezolle (IBM) and I. Gutheil (JSC) for their substantial help in making the VASP code run efficiently on the Blue Gene systems. This work also depends on many stimulating discussions and helpful comments. In this respect we would like to gratefully acknowledge the contributions of G. Rollmann, A. Hucht, R. Meyer, J. R. Chelikowsky and M. L. Tiago (iron nanoparticles), M. Farle, O. Dmitrieva, D. Sudfeld, N. Friedenberger, C. Antoniak, M. Spasova, K. Albe and U. Wiedwald (binary particles for ultra-high density magnetic recording), A. T. Zayak, W. A. Adeagbo, U. K. Rößler, I. Opahle, M. Richter, R. C. Pond, S. Fähler, M. Acet and E. F. Wassermann (magnetic shape memory Heusler alloys). Financial support was granted by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft through the Priority Programme SPP 1239, *Change of microstructure and shape of solid materials by external magnetic fields* and SFB 445, *Nanoparticles from the Gasphase: Formation, Structure, Properties.* 

## References

- A. Planes, L. Mañosa and A. Saxena, eds., Magnetism and Structure in Functional Materials, vol. 79 of Springer Series in Materials Science, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York 2005.
- [2] Q. A. Pankhurst, J. Conolly, S. K. Jones and J. Dobson, Application of magnetic nanoparticles in biomedicine, J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys. 36, R167 (2003).
- [3] P. Tartaj, M. del Puerto Morales, S. Veintemillas-Verdaguer, T. Gonzáles-Carreño and C. J. Serna, *The preparation of magnetic nanoparticles for application in biomedicine*, J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys **36**, R182 (2003).
- [4] I. Šafařík and M. Šafaříková, Magnetic nanoparticles and biosciences, Monatshefte für Chemie 737, 133 (2002).
- [5] S. Sun, C. B. Murray, D. Weller, L. Folks and A. Moser, Monodisperse FePt nanoparticles and ferromagnetic FePt nanocrystal superlattices, Science 287, 1989 (2000).

- S. Sun, Recent advances in chemical synthesis, self-assembly, and applications of FePt Nanoparticles, Adv. Mater. 18, 393 (2006).
- [7] D. Weller and A. Moser, Thermal effect limits in ultrahigh-density magnetic recording, IEEE Trans. Magn. 35, 4423 (1999).
- [8] A. N. Vasil'ev, V. D. Buchel'nikov, T. Takagi, V. V. Khovailo and E. I. Estrin, Shape memory ferromagnets, Physics Uspekhi 46, 559 (2003).
- [9] O. Söderberg, Y. Ge, A. Szinov, S.-P. Hannula and V. V. Lindroos, *Recent breakthrough development of the shape memory effect in Ni-Mn-Ga alloys*, Smart Mater. Struct. 14, 223 (2005).
- [10] P. Entel, V. D. Buchelnikov, V. V. Khovailo, A. T. Zayak, W. A. Adeagbo, M. E. Gruner,
  H. C. Herper and E. F. Wassermann, *Modelling the phase diagram of magnetic shape memory Heusler alloys*, J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys. **39**, 865 (2006).
- [11] G. M. Pastor, J. Dorantes-Dávila and K. H. Bennemann, Size and structural dependence of the magnetic properties of small 3d-transition-metal clusters, Phys. Rev. B 40, 7642 (1989).
- [12] A. N. Andriotis and M. Menon, Tight-binding molecular-dynamics study of ferromagnetic clusters, Phys. Rev. B 57, 10069 (1998).
- [13] C. Barreteau, M. Desjonquieres and D. Spanjaard, Theoretical study of the icosahedral to cuboctahedral structural transition in Rh and Pd clusters, Eur. Phys. J. D 11, 395 (2000).
- [14] R. A. Guirado-López, J. Dorantes-Dávila and G. M. Pastor, Orbital magnetism in transition-metal clusters: From Hund's rule to bulk quenching, Phys. Rev. Lett. 90, 226402 (2003).
- [15] Y. Xie and J. A. Blackman, Universal tight-binding model for transition metals: From bulk to cluster, J. Phys. Condens. Matter 16, 8589 (2004).
- [16] C. Köhler, G. Seifert and T. Frauenheim, Magnetism and the potential energy hypersurfaces of Fe<sub>53</sub> to Fe<sub>57</sub>, Comp. Mat. Sci. **35**, 297 (2006).
- [17] S. L. Dudarev and P. M. Derlet, A 'magnetic' interatomic potential for molecular dynamics simulations, J. Phys. Condens. Matter 17, 7097 (2005).
- [18] P.-W. Ma, C. H. Woo and S. L. Dudarev, Large-scale simulation of the spin-lattice dynamics in ferromagnetic iron, Physical Review B - Condensed Matter and Materials Physics 78, 024434 (2008).
- [19] S. Goedecker, Linear scaling electronic structure methods, Rev. Mod. Phys. 71, 1085 (1999).
- [20] J. M. Soler, E. Artacho, J. D. Gale, A. Garciá, J. Junquera, P. Ordejón and D. Sánchez-Portal, *The SIESTA method for ab initio order-N materials simulation*, J. Phys. Cond. Matter 14, 2745 (2002).

- [21] D. R. Bowler, R. Choudhury, M. J. Gillan and T. Miyazaki, *Recent progress with large-scale ab initio calculations: The CONQUEST code*, phys. stat. sol. (b) **243**, 989 (2006).
- [22] R. Zeller, Towards a linear-scaling algorithm for electronic structure calculations with the tight-binding Korringa-Kohn-Rostoker Green function method, J. Phys. Cond. Matter 20, 294215 (2008).
- M. E. Gruner, G. Rollmann and P. Entel, Large-scale first-principles calculations of magnetic nanoparticles, in Proceedings of the NIC Symposion 2008, edited by G. Münster, D. Wolf and M. Kremer, vol. 39 of NIC Series, p. 161, Jülich 2008.
- [24] http://www.top500.org.
- [25] F. Viñes, F. Illas and K. M. Neyman, On the mechanism of formation of metal nanowires by self-assembly, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 46, 7094 (2007).
- [26] J. Akola and R. O. Jones, Structural phase transitions on the nanoscale: The crucial pattern in the phase-change materials Ge<sub>2</sub>Sb<sub>2</sub>Te<sub>5</sub> and GeTe, Phys. Rev. B 76, 235201 (2007).
- [27] J. Akola and R. O. Jones, Binary alloys of Ge and Te: Order, voids, and the eutectic composition, Phys. Rev. Lett. 100, 205502 (2008).
- [28] F. Gygi, E. W. Draeger, M. Schulz, B. R. de Supinski, J. A. Gunnels, V. Austel, J. C. Sexton, F. Franchetti, S. Kral, C. W. Ueberhuber and J. Lorenz, Large-Scale electronic structure calculation of high-Z metals on the BlueGene/L Platform, in Proceedings of the ACM/IEEE Conference on Supercomputing, Tampa, FL 2006.
- [29] F. Gygi, Architecture of Qbox: A scalable first-principles molecular dynamics code, IBM J. Res. & Dev. 52, 137 (2008).
- [30] R. Laskowski, P. Blaha, T. Gallauner and K. Schwarz, Single-layer model of the hexagonal boron nitride nanomesh on the Rh(111) surface, Phys. Rev. Lett. 98, 106802 (2007).
- [31] R. Laskowski and P. Blaha, Unraveling the structure of the h-BN/Rh(111) nanomesh with ab initio calculations, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter. 20, 064207 (2008).
- [32] P. Hohenberg and W. Kohn, Inhomogeneous electron gas, Phys. Rev. 136, B864 (1964).
- [33] G. Kresse and J. Furthmüller, Efficient iterative schemes for ab initio total-energy calculations using a plane-wave basis set, Phys. Rev. B 54, 11169 (1996).
- [34] G. Kresse and D. Joubert, From ultrasoft pseudopotentials to the projector augmented-wave method, Phys. Rev. B 59, 1758 (1999).
- [35] J. Hafner, Ab-initio simulations of materials using VASP: Density-functional theory and beyond, Journal of Computational Chemistry 29, 2044 (2008).
- [36] J. P. Perdew, in *Electronic Structure of Solids '91*, edited by P. Ziesche and H. Eschrig, Akademie Verlag, Berlin 1991.

- [37] J. P. Perdew, K. Burke and Y. Wang, Generalized gradient approximation for the exchangecorrelation hole of a many-electron system, Phys. Rev. B 54, 16533 (1996).
- [38] S. H. Vosko, L. Wilk and M. Nusair, Accurate spin-dependent electron liquid correlation energies for local spin density calculations: A critical analysis, Can. J. Phys. 58, 1200 (1980).
- [39] K. Parlinski, Z. Q. Li and Y. Kawazoe, First-principles determination of the soft mode in cubic ZrO<sub>2</sub>, Phys. Rev. Lett. **78**, 4063 (1997).
- [40] J. P. Perdew, K. Burke and M. Ernzerhoff, Generalized gradient approximation made simple, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 3865 (1996).
- [41] A. Gara, M. A. Blumrich, D. Chen, G. L.-T. Chiu, P. Coteus, M. E. Giampapa, R. A. Haring, P. Heidelberger, D. Hoenicke, G. V. Kopcsay, T. A. Liebsch, M. Ohmacht, B. D. Steinmacher-Burow, T. Takken and P. Vranas, *Overview of the Blue Gene/L system architecture*, IBM J. Res. & Dev. 49, 195 (2005).
- [42] J. A. Alonso, Electronic and atomic structure, and magnetism of transition metal clusters, Chem. Rev. 100, 637 (2000).
- [43] F. Baletto and R. Ferrando, Structural properties of nanoclusters: Energetic, thermodynamic, and kinetic effects, Rev. Mod. Phys. 77, 371 (2005).
- [44] J. Bansmann, S. H. Baker, C. Binns, J. A. Blackman, J.-P. Bucher, J. Dorantes-Dávila, V. Dupuis, L. Favre, D. Kechrakos, A. Kleibert, K.-H. Meiwes-Broer, G. M. Pastor, A. Perez, O. Toulemonde, K. N. Trohidou, J. Tuaillon and J. Xie, *Magnetic and structural* properties of isolated and assembled clusters, Surf. Sci. Rep. 56, 189 (2005).
- [45] C. Binns, K. N. Trohidou, J. Bansmann, S. H. Baker, J. A. Blackman, J.-P. Bucher, D. Kechrakos, A. Kleibert, S. Louch, K.-H. Meiwes-Broer, G. M. Pastor, A. Perez and Y. Xie, *The behaviour of nanostructured magnetic materials produced by depositing gasphase nanoparticles*, J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys. **38**, R357 (2005).
- [46] I. M. L. Billas, J. A. Becker, A. Châtelain and W. A. de Heer, Magnetic moments of iron clusters with 25 to 700 atoms and their dependence on temperature, Phys. Rev. Lett. 71, 4067 (1993).
- [47] I. M. L. Billas, A. Châtelain and W. A. de Heer, Magnetism from the atom to the bulk in iron, cobalt, and nickel clusters, Science 265, 1682 (1994).
- [48] I. M. L. Billas, A. Châtelain and W. A. de Heer, Magnetism of Fe, Co and Ni in molecular beams, J. Magn. Magn. Mater 168, 64 (1997).
- [49] B. W. van de Waal, Stability of face-centered cubic and icosahedral Lennard-Jones clusters, J. Chem. Phys. 90, 3407–3408 (1988).
- [50] B. Raoult, J. Farges, M.-F. de Feraudy and G. Torchet, Comparison between icosahedral, decahedral and crystalline Lennard-Jones models containing 500 to 6000 atoms, Phil. Mag. B 60, 881–906 (1989).

- [51] J. P. K. Doye and F. Calvo, Entropic effects on the size dependence of cluster structure, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 3570 (2001).
- [52] X. Shao, Y. Xiang and W. Cai, Structural transition from icosahedra to decahedra of large Lennard-Jones clusters, J. Phys. Chem. A 109, 5193–5197 (2005).
- [53] C. L. Cleveland and U. Landmann, The energetics and structure of nickel clusters: Size dependence, J. Chem. Phys. 94, 7376 (1991).
- [54] H. M. Duan and Q. Q. Zheng, Symmetry and magnetic properties of transition metal clusters, Phys. Lett. A 280, 333 (2001).
- [55] A. V. Postnikov, P. Entel and J. M. Soler, Density functional simulation of small Fe nanoparticles, Eur. Phys. J. D 25, 261 (2003).
- [56] O. Šipr, M. Košuth and H. Ebert, Magnetic structure of iron clusters and iron crystal surfaces, Surf. Sci. 566-568, 268 (2004).
- [57] O. Sipr, M. Košuth and H. Ebert, Magnetic structure of free iron clusters compared to iron crystal surfaces, Phys. Rev. B 70, 174423 (2004).
- [58] H. Ebert, S. Bornemann, J. Minár, P. H. Dederichs, R. Zeller and I. Cabria, Magnetic properties of Co- and FePt-Clusters, Comp. Mat. Sci. 35, 279 (2006).
- [59] V. Kumar and Y. Kawazoe, Icosahedral growth, magnetic behavior, and adsorbate-induced metal-nonmetal transition in palladium clusters, Phys. Rev. B 66, 144413 (2002).
- [60] V. Kumar and Y. Kawazoe, Evolution of electronic states and abnormal multishell relaxations in strontium clusters, Phys. Rev. B 63, 075410 (2001).
- [61] P. Nava, S. M. and A. R., Density functional study of palladium clusters, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 5, 3372 (2003).
- [62] M. L. Tiago, Y. Zhou, M. M. G. Alemany, Y. Saad and J. R. Chelikowsky, Evolution of magnetism in iron from the atom to the bulk, Phys. Rev. Lett. 97, 147201 (2006).
- [63] J. Guevara, F. Parisi, A. M. Llois and M. Weissmann, Electronic properties of transitionmetal clusters: Consideration of the spillover in a bulk parametrization, Phys. Rev. B 55, 13283 (1997).
- [64] J. A. Franco, A. Vega and F. Aguilera-Granja, Average magnetization and local magnetic moments of Fe<sub>N</sub> clusters (N < 230), Phys. Rev. B **60**, 434 (1999).
- [65] G. Rollmann, M. E. Gruner, A. Hucht, P. Entel, M. L. Tiago and J. R. Chelikowsky, Shellwise Mackay transformation in iron nano-clusters, Phys. Rev. Lett. 99, 083402 (2007).
- [66] P. J. Jensen and K. H. Bennemann, Theory for the atomic shell structure of the cluster magnetic moment and magnetoresistance of a cluster ensemble, Z. Phys. D 35, 273–278 (1995).

- [67] F. Aguilera-Granja, J. M. Montejano-Carrizales and J. L. Morán-López, Magnetic moments of iron clusters: A simple theoretical model, Phys. Lett. A 242, 255 (1998).
- [68] Y. Xie and J. A. Blackman, On the oscillation of the magnetic moment of free transition metal clusters, J. of Phys. Condens. Matter 15, L615 (2003).
- [69] Y. Xie and J. A. Blackman, Enhanced effect of magnetic anisotropy on free clusters, Appl. Phys. Lett. 82, 1446 (2003).
- [70] M. E. Gruner, G. Rollmann, A. Hucht and P. Entel, Structural and magnetic properties of transition metal nanoparticles from first principles, vol. 47 of Advances in Solid State Physics, p. 117, Springer, Berlin 2008.
- [71] G. Rollmann, Ab initio Simulationen eisenhaltiger Systeme: Vom Festkörper zum Cluster, Ph.D. thesis, Universität Duisburg-Essen (2007).
- [72] D. L. Huber, Synthesis, properties, and applications of iron nanoparticles, Small 1, 482 (2005).
- [73] M. Pellarin, B. Baguenard, J. L. Vialle, J. Lerme, M. Broyer, J. Miller and A. Perez, Evidence for icosahedral atomic shell structure in nickel and cobalt clusters - comparison with iron clusters, Chem. Phys. Lett. 217, 349 (1994).
- [74] A. L. Mackay, A dense non-crystallographic packing of equal spheres, Acta Cryst. 15, 916 (1962).
- [75] S. Ino, Stability of multiply-twinned particles, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 27, 941 (1969).
- [76] E. C. Bain, *The nature of martensite*, Trans. AIME **70**, 25 (1926).
- [77] G. Wulff, Zur Frage der Geschwindigkeit des Wachsthums und der Auflösung von Krystallflächen, Z. Kristallogr. 34, 29 (1901).
- [78] L. D. Marks, Modified Wulff construction for multiply twinned particles, J. Cryst. Growth 61, 556 (1983).
- [79] H. C. Herper, E. Hoffmann and P. Entel, Ab initio full-potential study of the structural and magnetic phase stability of iron, Phys. Rev. B 60, 3839 (1999).
- [80] T. Vystavel, G. Palasantzas, S. A. Koch and J. T. M. De Hosson, Nanosized iron clusters investigated with in situ transmission electron microscopy, Appl. Phys. Lett. 82, 197 (2003).
- [81] P. Bobadova-Parvanova, K. A. Jackson, S. Srinivas and M. Horoi, *Density-functional in-vestigations of the spin ordering in Fe*<sub>13</sub> clusters, Phys. Rev. B 66, 195402 (2002).
- [82] G. Rollmann, P. Entel and S.Sahoo, Competing structural and magnetic effects in small iron clusters, Comp. Mat. Sci. 35, 275–278 (2005).
- [83] N. A. Besley, R. L. Johnston, A. J. Stace and J. Uppenbrinck, *Theoretical study of the structures and stabilities of iron clusters*, J. Mol. Struct. (Theochem) **341**, 75 (1995).

- [84] M. E. Gruner, G. Rollmann, S. Sahoo and P. Entel, Magnetism of close packed Fe<sub>147</sub> clusters, Phase Trans. 79, 701 (2006).
- [85] S. H. Baker, A. M. Asaduzzaman, M. Roy, S. J. Gurman, C. Binns, J. A. Blackman and Y. Xie, Atomic structure and magnetic moments in cluster-assembled nanocomposite Fe/Cu films, Phys. Rev. B 78, 014422 (2008).
- [86] P. Entel, M. E. Gruner, G. Rollmann, A. Hucht, S. Sahoo, A. T. Zayak, H. C. Herper and A. Dannenberg, *First-principles investigations of multimetallic transition metal clusters*, Phil. Mag. (2008), in print, DOI: 10.1080/14786430802398040.
- [87] R. Meyer and P. Entel, Martensite austenite transition and phonon dispersion curves of  $Fe_{1-x}Ni_x$  studied by molecular dynamics simulations, Phys. Rev. B 57, 5140 (1998).
- [88] P. Entel, M. E. Gruner, A. Hucht, R. Meyer, G. Rollmann, S. Sahoo and S. K. Nayak, Simulating structure, magnetism and electronic properties of monoatomic, binary and ternary transition metal nanoclusters, in MESOSCOPIC, NANOSCOPIC AND MACROSCOPIC MATERIALS: Proceedings of the International Workshop on Mesoscopic, Nanoscopic and Macroscopic Materials (IWMNMM-2008), edited by S. M. Bose, S. N. Behera and B. K. Roul, vol. 1063 of AIP Conference Proceedings, p. 3 (2008).
- [89] C. M. Wei, C. Cheng and C. Chang, Transition between icosahedral and cuboctahedral nanoclusters of lead, J. Phys. Chem. B 110, 24642 (2006).
- [90] N. Lümmen and T. Kraska, Investigation of the formation of iron nanoparticles from the gas phase by molecular dynamics simulation, Nanotechnology 15, 525 (2004).
- [91] H. Jónsson and H. C. Andersen, Icosahedral ordering in the Lennard-Jones liquid and glass, Phys. Rev. Lett. 60, 2295 (1988).
- [92] D. Faken and H. Jónsson, Systematic analysis of local atomic structure combined with 3D computer graphics, Comp. Mat. Sci. 2, 279 (1994).
- [93] J. Kübler, Theory of Itinerant Electron Magnetism, Oxford University Press, Oxford 2000.
- [94] T. Ichikawa, Electron diffraction study of the local atomic arrangement in amorphous iron and nickel films, phys. stat. sol. (a) 19, 707 (1973).
- [95] K. S. Suslick, S.-B. Choe, A. A. Cichowlas and M. W. Grinstaff, Sonochemical synthesis of amorphous iron, Nature 353, 414 (1991).
- [96] N. P. Kovalenko, Y. P. Krasny and U. Krey, *Physics of Amorphous Metals*, Wiley-VCH, Berlin 2001.
- [97] E. Aprà, F. Baletto, R. Ferrando and A. Fortunelli, Amorphization mechanism of Icosahedral Metal Nanoclusters, Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 065502 (2004).
- [98] L. E. Kar'kina, I. N. Kar'kin and Y. N. Gornostyrev, Structural Transformations in Fe-Ni-alloy nanoclusters: Results of molecular-dynamics-simulations, Phys. Met. Met. 101, 130 (2006).

- [99] Y. Xie and J. A. Blackman, On the anomalous temperature dependence of the magnetic moment of cobalt clusters, J. Phys. Condens. Matter 16, 4373 (2004).
- [100] Y. Xie and J. A. Blackman, Magnetic anisotropy of nanoscale cobalt particles, J. Phys. Condens. Matter 16, 3163 (2004).
- [101] M. L. Plumer, J. van Ek and D. Weller, eds., The Physics of Ultra-High-Density Magnetic Recording, Springer, Berlin 2001.
- [102] H. Kodama, S. Momose, N. Ihara, T. Uzumaki and T. Atsushi, Disk substrate deposition techniques for monodisperse chemically sythesized FePt nanoparticle media, Appl. Phys. Lett. 83, 5253 (2003).
- [103] A. Perez, V. Dupuis, J. Tuaillon-Combes, L. Bardotti, B. Prével, E. Bernstein, P. Mélinon, L. Favre, A. Hannour and M. Jamet, *Functionalized cluster-assembled magnetic nanostructures for application to high integration-density devices*, Adv. Eng. Mater. 7, 475 (2005).
- [104] X. Yang, C. Liu, J. Yu, T. Klemmer, E. Johns and D. Weller, Fabrications of FePt nanoparticles for self-organized magnetic array, J. Vac. Sci. Technol. B 22, 31 (2004).
- [105] G. A. Held, H. Zeng and S. Sun, Magnetics of ultrathin FePt nanoparticle films, J. Appl. Phys. 95, 1481 (2004).
- [106] C. Antoniak and M. Farle, Magnetism at the nanoscale: The case of FePt, Mod. Phys. Lett. 18, 1111 (2007).
- [107] J. Lyubina, I. Opahle, K.-H. Müller, O. Gutfleisch, M. Richter, M. Wolf and L. Schultz, Magnetocrystalline anisotropy in L1<sub>0</sub> FePt and exchange coupling in FePt/Fe<sub>3</sub>Pt nanocomposites, J. Phys. Condens. Matter 17, 4157 (2005).
- [108] S. Stappert, B. Rellinghaus, M. Acet and E. F. Wassermann, Gas-phase preparation of L1<sub>0</sub> ordered FePt nanoparticles, J. Cryst. Growth 252, 440 (2003).
- [109] B. Rellinghaus, S. Stappert, M. Acet and E. F. Wassermann, Magnetic properties of FePt nanoparticles, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 266, 142 (2003).
- [110] B. Stahl, J. Ellrich, R. Theissmann, M. Ghafari, S. Bhattacharya, H. Hahn, N. S. Gajbhiye, D. Kramer, R. N. Viswanath, J. Weissmüller and H. Gleiter, *Electronic properties of 4-nm* FePt particles, Phys. Rev. B 67, 014422 (2003).
- [111] V. Salgueiriño Maceira, L. M. Liz-Marzán and M. Farle, Water-based ferrofluids from  $\operatorname{Fe}_{x}\operatorname{Pt}_{1-x}$  nanoparticles synthesized in organic media, Langmuir **20**, 6946 (2004).
- [112] G. A. Held, H. Zeng and S. Sun, Magnetics of ultrathin FePt nanoparticle films, J. Appl. Phys. 95, 1481 (2004).
- [113] T. Miyazaki, O. Kitakami, S. Okamoto, Y. Shimada, Z. Akase, Y. Murakami, D. Shindo, Y. K. Takahashi and K. Hono, Size effect on the ordering of L1<sub>0</sub> FePt nanoparticles, Phys. Rev. B 72, 144419 (2005).

- [114] O. Dmitrieva, B. Rellinghaus, J. Kästner, M. O. Liedke and J. Fassbender, Ion beam induced destabilisation of icosahedral structures in gas phase prepared FePt nanoparticles, J. Appl. Phys. 97, 10N112 (2005).
- [115] C. Antoniak, J. Lindner, M. Spasova, D. Sudfeld, M. Acet, M. Farle, K. Fauth, U. Wiedwald, H.-G. Boyen, P. Ziemann, F. Wilhelm, A. Rogalev and S. Sun, *Enhanced orbital* magnetism in Fe<sub>50</sub>Pt<sub>50</sub> Nanoparticles, Phys. Rev. Lett. 97, 117201 (2006).
- [116] C. Rong, D. Li, V. Nandwana, N. Poudyal, Y. Ding and Z. L. Wang, Size-dependent chemical and magnetic ordering in L1<sub>0</sub>-FePt nanoparticles, Adv. Mater. 18, 2984 (2006).
- [117] A. Ethirajan, U. Wiedwald, H.-G. Boyen, B. Kern, A. Klimmer, F. Weigl, G. Kästle, P. Ziemann, K. Fauth, J. Cai, J. Behm, A. Romanyuk, P. Oelhafen, P. Walther, J. Biskupek and U. Kaiser, A micellar approach to magnetic ultrahigh-density data-storage media: Extending the limits of current colloidal methods, Adv. Mater. 19, 406 (2007).
- [118] U. Wiedwald, A. Klimmer, B. Kern, H.-G. Han, L.and Boyen, P. Ziemann and K. Fauth, Lowering of the L1<sub>0</sub> ordering temperature of FePt nanoparticles by He<sup>+</sup> ion irradiation, Appl. Phys. Lett. **90**, 062508 (2007).
- [119] B. Rellinghaus, S. Stappert, M. Acet and E. F. Wassermann, Magnetic properties of FePt nanoparticles, Journal of Magnetism and Magnetic Materials 266, 142 (2003).
- [120] Z. R. Dai, S. Sun and Z. L. Wang, Shapes, multiple twins and surface structures of monodisperse FePt magnetic nanocrystals, Surf. Sci. 505, 325 (2002).
- [121] D. Sudfeld, O. Dmitrieva, N. Friedenberger, G. Dumpich, M. Farle, C. Song, C. Kisielowski, M. E. Gruner and P. Entel, *HR-TEM studies of* FePt *nanoparticles by exit wave reconstruction*, Mater. Res. Soc. Symp. Proc. **998E**, 0998–J01–06 (2007).
- [122] R. Wang, O. Dmitrieva, M. Farle, G. Dumpich, H. Q. Ye, H. Poppa, R. Kilaas and C. Kisielowski, Layer resolved structural relaxation at the surface of magnetic FePt icosahedral nanoparticles, Phys. Rev. Lett. 100, 017205 (2008).
- [123] R. Ferrando, J. Jellinek and R. L. Johnston, Nanoalloys: From theory to applications of alloy clusters and nanoparticles, Chem. Rev. 108, 845 (2008).
- [124] M. Müller and K. Albe, Structural stability of multiply twinned FePt nanoparticles, Acta Mater. 55, 6617 (2007).
- [125] M. Müller and K. Albe, Lattice Monte Carlo simulations of FePt nanoparticles: Influence of size, composition, and surface segregation on order-disorder phenomena, Phys. Rev. B 72, 094203 (2005).
- [126] B. Yang, M. Asta, O. N. Mryasov, T. J. Klemmer and R. W. Chantrell, Equilibrium Monte Carlo simulations of A1-L1<sub>0</sub> ordering in FePt nanoparticles, Scripta Mater. 53, 417 (2005).

- [127] B. Yang, M. Asta, O. N. Mryasov, T. J. Klemmer and R. W. Chantrell, The nature of A1-L1<sub>0</sub> ordering transition in alloy nanoparticles: A Monte Carlo study, Acta Materialia 54, 4201 (2006).
- [128] S. Heinrichs, W. Dieterich and P. Maass, Kinetic growth of nano clusters with perpendicular magnetic anisotropy, Europhys. Lett. 75, 167 (2006).
- [129] N. Lümmen and T. Kraska, Homogeneous nucleation and growth in iron-platinum vapour investigated by molecular dynamics simulations, Eur. Phys. J. D 41, 247 (2007).
- [130] G. Rossi, R. Ferrando and C. Mottet, Structure and chemical ordering in CoPt nanoalloys, Faraday Discussions 138, 193–210 (2008).
- [131] A. Fortunelli and A. M. Velasco, Structural and electronic properties of Pt/Fe nanoclusters from EHT calculations, J. Mol. Struct. (Theochem) 487, 251 (1999).
- [132] A. Fortunelli and A. M. Velasco, Local properties of Pt/Fe nanoclusters by EHT calculations, J. Mol. Struct. (Theochem) 528, 1 (2000).
- [133] Y. Wang, G. M. Stocks, A. Rusanu, D. M. C. Nicholson, M. Eisenbach, Q. Zhang and J. P. Liu, *Electronic and magnetic structure of L1*<sub>0</sub>-FePt *nanoparticle embedded in FePt random alloy*, IEEE Trans. Magn. 43, 3103 (2007).
- [134] M. E. Gruner, G. Rollmann, P. Entel and M. Farle, Multiply twinned morphologies of Fe-Pt and Co-Pt nanoparticles, Phys. Rev. Lett. 100, 087203 (2008).
- [135] M. E. Gruner, Antiferromagnetism and segregation in cuboctahedral FePt nanoparticles, J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys. 41, 134015 (2008).
- [136] P. Entel and M. E. Gruner, Large-scale ab initio simulations of binary transition metal clusters for storage media materials, J. Phys. Cond. Matter (2009), in print.
- [137] J. F. Clark, F. J. Pinski, D. D. Johnson, P. A. Sterne, J. B. Staunton and B. Ginatempo, Van Hove Singularity induced L1<sub>1</sub> ordering in CuPt, Phys. Rev. Lett. 74, 3225 (1995).
- [138] J. Lyubina, I. Opahle, M. Richter, O. Gutfleisch, K.-H. Müller, L. Schultz and O. Isnard, Influence of composition and order on the magnetism of Fe-Pt alloys: Neutron powder diffraction and theory, Appl. Phys. Lett. 89, 032506 (2006).
- [139] H. Zeng, R. Sabirianov, O. Mryasov, M. L. Yan, K. Cho and D. J. Sellmyer, Curie temperature of FePt:B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> nanocomposite films, Phys. Rev. B 66, 184425 (2002).
- [140] G. Brown, B. Kraczek, A. Janotti, T. C. Schulthess, G. M. Stocks and D. D. Johnson, Competition between ferromangetism and antiferromagnetism in FePt, Phys. Rev. B 68, 052405 (2003).
- [141] O. N. Mryasov, Magnetic interactions in 3d-5d layered ferromagnets, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 272, 800 (2004).
- [142] J. M. MacLaren, R. R. Duplessis, R. A. Stern and S. Willoughby, *First principles calcula*tions of FePt, CoPt, Co<sub>3</sub>Pt, and Fe<sub>3</sub>Pt alloys, IEEE Trans. Magn. 41, 4347 (2005).

- [143] O. N. Mryasov, Magnetic interactions and phase transformations in FeM, M = (Pt, Rh) ordered alloys, Phase Transitions 78, 197 (2005).
- [144] M. Fallot, Les alliages du fer avec les métaux de la famille du platine, Ann. Phys. (Paris) 10, 291 (1938).
- [145] O. N. Mryasov, U. Nowak, K. Y. Guslienko and R. W. Chantrell, Temperature-dependent magnetic properties of FePt: Effective spin Hamiltonian model, Europhys. Lett. 69, 805 (2005).
- [146] P. Tu, A. J. Heeger, J. S. Kouvel and J. B. Comly, Mechanism for the first-order magnetic transition in the FeRh System, J. Appl. Phys. 40, 1368 (1969).
- [147] M. E. Gruner, E. Hoffmann and P. Entel, Instability of the rhodium magnetic moment as origin of the metamagnetic phase transition in α-FeRh, Phys. Rev. B 67, 064415 (2003).
- [148] G. Ju, J. Hohlfeld, R. J. M. van de Veerdonk, O. N. Mryasov, J.-Y. Kim, X. Wu, D. Weller and B. Koopmanns, Ultrafast generation of ferromagnetic order via a laser-induced phase transformation in FeRh thin films, Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 197403 (2004).
- [149] M. E. Gruner and A. Dannenberg, Structure and magnetism in near-stoichiometric FePd nanoparticles, J. Magn. Magn. Mater., accepted for publication.
- [150] J. Penuelas, C. Andreazza-Vignolle, P. Andreazza, A. Ouerghi and N. Bouet, Temperature effect on the ordering and morphology of CoPt nanoparticles, Surf. Sci. 602, 545 (2008).
- [151] F. Tournus, A. Tamion, N. Blanc, A. Hannour, L. Bardotti, B. Prével, P. Ohresser,
  E. Bonet, T. Epicier and V. Dupuis, *Evidence of L1<sub>0</sub> chemical order in CoPt nanoclusters:* Direct observation and magnetic signature, Phys. Rev. B 77, 144411 (2008).
- [152] H.-G. Boyen, K. Fauth, B. Stahl, P. Ziemann, G. Kästle, F. Weigl, F. Banhart, M. Hessler, G. Schütz, N. S. Gajbhiye, J. Ellrich, H. Hahn, M. Büttner, M. G. Garnier and P. Oelhafen, *Electronic and magnetic properties of ligand-free* FePt *nanoparticles*, Adv. Mater. 17, 574 (2005).
- [153] K. Ullakko, J. K. Huang, C. Kantner, R. C. O'Handley and V. V. Kokorin, Large magneticfield-induced strains in Ni<sub>2</sub>MnGa single crystals, Appl. Phys. Lett. 69, 1966 (1996).
- [154] A. Sozinov, A. A. Likhachev, N. Lanska and K. Ullakko, Giant magnetic-field-induced strain in NiMnGa seven-layered martensitic phase, Appl. Phys. Lett. 80, 1746 (2002).
- [155] V. Chernenko, C. Segui, E. Cesari, J. Pons and V. Kokorin, Sequence of martensitic transformations in Ni-Mn-Ga alloys, Phys. Rev. B 57, 2659 (1998).
- [156] V. V. Khovaylo, V. D. Buchelnikov, R. Kainuma, V. V. Koledov, M. Ohtsuka, V. G. Shavrov, T. Takagi, S. V. Taskaev and A. N. Vasiliev, *Phase transitions in* Ni<sub>2+x</sub>Mn<sub>1-x</sub>Ga with a high Ni excess, Phys. Rev. B 72, 224408 (2005).
- [157] M. Richard, J. Feuchtwanger, D. Schlagel, T. Lograsso, S. Allen and R. O'Handley, Crystal structure and transformation behavior of Ni-Mn-Ga martensites, Scr. Mater. 54, 1797 (2006).

- [158] J. Enkovaara, A. Ayuela, L. Nordström and R. M. Nieminen, Magnetic anisotropy in Ni<sub>2</sub>MnGa, Phys. Rev. B 65, 134422 (2002).
- [159] S. Fähler, An introduction to actuation mechanisms in magnetic shape memory alloys, ECS Transactions 3, 155 (2007).
- [160] T. Krenke, M. Acet, E. F. Wassermann, X. Moya, L. Mañosa and A. Planes, Ferromagnetism in the austenitic and martensitic states of Ni-Mn-In alloys, Phys. Rev. B 73, 174413 (2006).
- [161] T. Krenke, E. Duman, M. Acet, E. F. Wassermann, X. Moya, L. Mañosa, A. Planes, E. Suard and B. Ouladdiaf, *Magnetic superelasticity and inverse magnetocaloric effect in Ni-Mn-In*, Phys. Rev. B **75**, 104414 (2007).
- [162] R. D. James and M. Wuttig, Magnetostriction of martensite, Phil. Mag. A 77, 1273 (1998).
- [163] J. Cui, T. W. Shield and R. D. James, Phase transformation and magentic anisotropy of an iron-palladium ferromagnetic shape-memory alloy, Acta Mater. 52, 35 (2004).
- [164] R. Hayashi, S. Murray, M. Marioni, S. Allen and R. O'Handley, Magnetic and mechanical properties of FeNiCoTi magnetic shape memory alloy, Sensors and Actuators 81, 219 (2000).
- [165] T. Kakeshita and T. Fukuda, Giant Magnetostriction in Fe<sub>3</sub>Pt and FePd Ferromagnetic Shape Memory Alloys, Mater. Sci. Forum **394-395**, 531 (2002).
- [166] T. Fukuda, T. Sakamoto, T. Kakeshita, T. Takeuchi and K. Kishio, Rearrangement of martensite variants in iron-based ferromagnetic shape memory alloy under magnetic field, Mater. Trans. 45, 188 (2004).
- [167] T. Kakeshita, T. Fukuda and T. Takeuchi, Magneto-mechanical Evaluation for Twinning Plane Movement Driven by Magnetic Field in Ferromagnetic Shape Memory Alloys, Mater. Sci. Eng. A 438-440, 12 (2006).
- [168] I. Opahle, K. Koepernik, U. Nitzsche and M. Richter, in preparation.
- [169] R. A. Stern, S. D. Willoughby, A. Ramirez, J. M. MacLaren, J. Cui, Q. Pan and R. D. James, *Electronic and structural properties of* Fe<sub>3</sub>Pd-Pt *ferromagnetic shape memory alloys*, J. Appl. Phys. **91**, 7818 (2002).
- [170] R. A. Stern, S. D. Willoughby, J. M. MacLaren, J. Cui, Q. Pan and R. D. James, Fe<sub>3</sub>Pd ferromagnetic shape memory alloys, J. Appl. Phys. 93, 8644 (2003).
- [171] P. J. Brown, A. Y. Bargawi, J. Crangle, K.-U. Neumann and K. R. A. Ziebeck, Direct observation of a band Jahn-Teller effect in the martensitic phase transition of Ni<sub>2</sub>MnGa, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter **11**, 4715 (1999).
- [172] A. Ayuela, J. Enkovaara, K. Ullakko and R. Nieminen, Structural properties of magnetic Heusler alloys, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 11, 2017 (1999).

- [173] O. I. Velikokhatnyi and I. I. Naumov, *Electronic structure and instability of* Ni<sub>2</sub>MnGa, Fiz. Tverd. Tela **41**, 684 (1999), [Physics of the Solid State **41**, 617 (1999)].
- [174] J. Enkovaara, A. Ayuela, L. Nordström and R. M. Nieminen, Structural, thermal, and magnetic properites of Ni<sub>2</sub>MnGa, J. Appl. Phys. **91**, 7798 (2002).
- [175] A. Ayuela, J. Enkovaara and R. M. Nieminen, Ab initio study of tetragonal variants in Ni<sub>2</sub>MnGa alloy, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 14, 5325 (2002).
- [176] Y. Lee, J. Y. Rhee and B. N. Harmon, Generalized susceptibility of the magnetic shapememory alloy Ni<sub>2</sub>MnGa, Phys. Rev. B 66, 054424 (2002).
- [177] C. Bungaro, K. M. Rabe and A. Dal Corso, First-principles study of lattice instabilities in ferromagnetic Ni<sub>2</sub>MnGa, Phys. Rev. B 68, 134104 (2003).
- [178] A. T. Zayak, P. Entel, J. Enkovaara, A. Ayuela and R. M. Nieminen, A first-principles investigation of phonon softenings and lattice instabilities in the shape-memory system Ni<sub>2</sub>MnGa, Phys. Rev. B 68, 132402 (2003).
- [179] A. T. Zayak, P. Entel, K. M. Rabe, W. A. Adeagbo and M. Acet, Anomalous vibrational effects in nonmagnetic and mangetic Heusler alloys, Phys. Rev. B 72, 0541113 (2005).
- [180] A. T. Zayak and P. Entel, A critical discussion of calculated modulated structures, Fermi surface nesting and phonon softening in magnetic shape memory alloys Ni<sub>2</sub>Mn(Ga, Ge, Al) and Co<sub>2</sub>Mn(Ga, Ge), J. Magn. Magn. Mater. **290-291**, 874 (2005).
- [181] A. T. Zayak, W. A. Adeagbo, P. Entel and K. M. Rabe, e/a dependence of the lattice instability of cubic Heusler alloys from first principles, Appl. Phys. Lett. 88, 111903 (2006).
- [182] P. Entel, M. E. Gruner, W. A. Adeagbo, C.-J. Eklund, A. T. Zayak, H. Akai and M. Acet, Ab initio modeling of martensitic transformations (MT) in magnetic shape memory alloys, J. Magn. Mater **310**, 2761 (2007).
- [183] P. Entel, M. E. Gruner, W. A. Adeagbo and A. Zayak, Magnetic field-induced changes in magnetic shape memory alloys, Mater. Sci. Eng. A 481-482, 258 (2008).
- [184] M. E. Gruner, P. Entel, I. Opahle and M. Richter, Ab initio investigation of twin boundary motion in the magnetic shape memory Heusler alloy Ni<sub>2</sub>MnGa, J. Mater. Sci. 43, 3825 (2008).
- [185] M. E. Gruner, W. A. Adeagbo, A. T. Zayak, A. Hucht, S. Buschmann and P. Entel, Influence of magnetism on the structural stability of cubic L2<sub>1</sub> Ni<sub>2</sub>MnGa, Eur. Phys. J. – Special Topics 158, 193 (2008).
- [186] P. Entel, V. D. Buchelnikov, M. E. Gruner, A. Hucht, V. V. Khovailo, S. K. Nayak and A. T. Zayak, *Shape memory alloys: A summary of recent achievements*, Mater. Sci. Forum 583, 21 (2008).
- [187] A. Zayak, P. Entel and J. Chelikowsky, Minority-spin polarization and surface magnetic enhancement in Heusler clusters, Phys. Rev. B (2008).

- [188] C. P. Opeil, B. Mihaila, R. K. Schulze, L. Mañosa, A. Planes, W. L. Hults, R. A. Fisher, P. S. Riseborough, P. B. Littlewood, J. L. Smith and J. C. Lashley, *Combined experimental* and theoretical investigation of the premartensitic transition in Ni<sub>2</sub>MnGa, Phys. Rev. Lett. 100, 165703 (2008).
- [189] A. Zheludev, S. Shapiro, P. Wochner, A. Schwartz, M. Wall and L. Tanner, *Phonon anomaly, central peak, and microstructures in Ni<sub>2</sub>MnGa*, Phys. Rev. B **51**, 11310 (1995).
- [190] A. Zheludev, S. Shapiro, P. Wochner and L. Tanner, Precursor effects and premartensitic transformation in Ni<sub>2</sub>MnGa, Phys. Rev. B, 54, 15045 (1996).
- [191] U. Stuhr, P. Vorderwisch, V. Kokorin and P.-A. Lindgard, Premartensitic phenomena in the ferro- and paramagnetic phases of Ni<sub>2</sub>MnGa, Phys. Rev. B, 56, 14360 (1997).
- [192] L. Manosa, A. Planes, J. Zarestky, T. Lograsso, D. Schlagel and C. Stassis, *Phonon soft-ening in Ni-Mn-Ga alloys*, Phys. Rev. B 64, 024305 (2001).
- [193] X. Moya, L. Mañosa, A. Planes, T. Krenke, M. Acet, V. O. Garlea, T. A. Lograsso, D. L. Schlagel and J. L. Zarestky, *Lattice dynamics and phonon softening in Ni-Mn-Al Heusler alloys*, Phys. Rev. B 73, 064303 (2006).
- [194] S. M. Shapiro, P. Vorderwisch, K. Habicht, K. Hradil and H. Schneider, Observations of phasons in magnetic shape memory alloy Ni<sub>2</sub>MnGa, Europhys. Lett. 77, 56004 (2006).
- [195] P. Entel, M. E. Gruner and A. Hucht, A first-principles study of the martensitic instabilities in magnetic shape memory alloys, Mater. Res. Soc. Symp. Proc. 1050E, 1050–BB03–01 (2008).
- [196] V. L. Moruzzi, P. M. Marcus, K. Schwarz and P. Mohn, Ferromagnetic phases of bcc and fcc Fe, Co, and Ni, Phys. Rev. B 34, 1784 (1986).
- [197] J. Enkovaara, A. Ayuela, J. Jalkanen, L. Nordström and R. M. Nieminen, First-principles calculations of spin spirals in Ni<sub>2</sub>MnGa and Ni<sub>2</sub>MnAl, Phys. Rev. B 67, 544171 (2003).
- [198] K. Bhattacharya, Microstructure of Martensite why it Forms and how it Gives Rise to the Shape-Memory Effect, Oxford University Press, Oxford 2003.
- [199] J. M. Ball and R. D. James, Fine phase mixtures as minimizers of energy, Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal. 100, 13 (1987).
- [200] M. Pitteri and G. Zanzotto, Continuum Models for Phase Transitions and Twinning in Crystals, Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton 2003.
- [201] P. J. Brown, B. Dennis, J. Crangle, T. Kanomata, M. Matsumoto, K.-U. Neumann, L. M. Justham and K. R. A. Ziebeck, *Stability of martensitic domains in the ferromagnetic alloy* Ni<sub>2</sub>MnGa: a mechanism for shape memory behaviour, J. Phys.: Cond. Mat. 16, 65 (2004).
- [202] N. Okamoto, T. Fukuda, T. Kakeshita and T. Takeuchi, Magnetocrystalline anisotropy constant and twinning stress in martensite phase of Ni-Mn-Ga, Mater. Sci. Eng. A 438-440, 948 (2006).

- [203] T. Kakeshita and T. Fukuda, Energy evaluation for twinning plane movement in ferromagnetic shape memory alloys, Int. J. Appl. Electrom. 23, 45 (2006).
- [204] R. C. Pond and S. Celotto, Special interfaces: Military transformations, Int. Mater. Rev. 48, 225 (2003).
- [205] P. Müllner and G. Kostorz, Microstructure of magnetic shape-memory alloys: Between magnetoelasticity and magnetoplasticity, Mater. Sci. Forum 583, 43 (2008).